arXiv:1410.4058v1 [math-ph] 15 Oct 2014

Calculations for Extended Thermodynamics of dense gases up to whatever order and with only some symmetries

S. Pennisi
Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica , Universitá di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy
spennisi@unica.it

Abstract

The 14 moments model for dense gases, introduced in the last years by Ruggeri, Sugiyama and collaborators, is here considered. They have found the closure of the balance equations up to second order with respect to equilibrium; subsequently, Carrisi has found the closure up to whatever order with respect to equilibrium, but for a more constrained system where more symmetry conditions are imposed. Here the closure is obtained up to whatever order and without imposing the supplementary conditions. It comes out that the first non symmetric parts appear only at third order with respect to equilibrium, even if Ruggeri and Sugiyama found a non symmetric part proportional to an arbitrary constant also at first order with respect to equilibrium. Consequently, this constant must be zero, as Ruggeri, Sugiyama assumed in the applications and on an intuitive ground.

1 Introduction

Starting point of this research is the article [1] which belongs to the framework of Extended Thermodynamics. Some of the original papers on this subject are [2], [3] while more recent papers are [4]-[18] and the theory has the advantage to furnish hyperbolic field equations, with finite speeds of propagation of shock waves and very interesting analytical properties.
It starts from a given set of balance equations where some arbitrary functions appear; restrictions on these arbitrariness are obtained by imposing the entropy principle and the relativity principle.
However, these restrictions were so strong to allow only particular state functions; for example, the function $p = p(\rho, T)$ relating the pression p with the mass density ρ and the absolute temperature T, was determined except for a single variable function so that it was adapt to describe only particular gases or continuum.
This drawback has been overcome in [1] and other articles such as [19]-[34] by considering two blocks

of balance equations, for example, in the 14 moments case treated in [1], they are

$$\partial_t F^N + \partial_k F^{kN} = P^N \quad , \quad \partial_t G^E + \partial_k G^{kE} = Q^E \, , \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where} \quad & F^N = (F, F^i, F^{ij}) \quad , \quad G^E = (G, G^i) \quad , \\ & F^{kN} = (F^k, F^{ki}, F^{kij}) \quad , \quad G^{kE} = (G^k, G^{ki}) \quad , \\ & P^N = (0, 0, P^{ij}) \quad , \quad Q^E = (0, Q^i) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The first 2 components of P^N are zero because the first 2 components of equations $(1)_1$ are the conservation laws of mass and momentum; the first component of Q^E is zero because the first component of equations $(1)_2$ is the conservation laws of energy. The whole block $(1)_2$ can be considered an "Energy Block".
The equations (1) can be written in a more compact form as

$$\partial_t F^A + \partial_k F^{kA} = P^A \quad , \tag{2}$$

$$\text{where} \quad F^A = (F^N, G^E) \quad , \quad F^{kA} = (F^{kN}, G^{kE}) \quad , \quad P^A = (P^N, Q^E) \quad .$$

In the whole set (2), F^A are the independent variables, while F^{kij}, G^{ki}, P^{ij}, Q^i are constitutive functions. Restrictions on their generalities are obtained by imposing

1. **The Entropy Principle** which guarantees the existence of an entropy density h and an entropy flux h^k such that the equation

$$\partial_t h + \partial_k h^k = \sigma \geq 0 \quad , \tag{3}$$

holds whatever solution of the equations (2).
Thanks to Liu' s Theorem [35], [36], this is equivalent to assuming the existence of Lagrange Multipliers μ_A such that

$$d\, h = \mu_A d\, F^A \quad , \quad d\, h^k = \mu_A d\, F^{kA} \quad , \quad \sigma = \mu_A P^A \, . \tag{4}$$

An idea conceived by Ruggeri is to define the 4-potentials h', h'^k as

$$h' = \mu_A F^A - h \quad , \quad h'^k = \mu_A F^{kA} - h^k \quad , \tag{5}$$

so that eqs. $(4)_{1,2}$ become

$$d\, h' = F^A d\, \mu_A \quad , \quad d\, h'^k = F^{kA} d\, \mu_A \quad ,$$

which are equivalent to

$$F^A = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_A} \quad , \quad F^{kA} = \frac{\partial h'^k}{\partial \mu_A} \quad , \tag{6}$$

if the Lagrange Multipliers are taken as independent variables. A nice consequence of eqs. (6) is that the field equations assume the symmetric form.
Other restrictions are given by

2. **the symmetry conditions**, that is the second component of F^N is equal to the first component of F^{kN}, the third component of F^N is equal to the second component of F^{kN}, the second component of G^E is equal to the first component of G^{kE}. Moreover, F^{ij} is a symmetric tensor.
   Thanks to eqs. (6) these conditions assume the form

$$\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_i} = \frac{\partial h'^i}{\partial \mu} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_{ij}} = \frac{\partial h'^i}{\partial \mu_j} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial h'^i}{\partial \lambda} \quad , \tag{7}$$

   where we have assumed the decomposition $\mu_A = (\mu, \mu_i, \mu_{ij}, \lambda, \lambda_i)$ for the Lagrange Multipliers. Moreover μ_{ij} is a symmetric tensor.
   Eventual supplementary symmetry conditions are those imposing the symmetry of the tensors F^{kij} and G^{ki} and are motivated by the kinetic counterpart of this theory. Thanks to eqs. (6) these conditions may be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial h'^{[k}}{\partial \mu_{i]j}} = 0 \quad , \frac{\partial h'^{[k}}{\partial \lambda_{i]}} = 0 \quad . \tag{8}$$

   However, if the kinetic approach is used only to give suggestions on the form of the balance equations, one may think to obtain a more general macroscopic theory if these supplementary symmetry conditions are not imposed. For this reason they have been not considered in [1] and in the present article.
   The next conditions come from

3. **the Galilean Relativity Principle**.
   There are two ways to impose this principle. One of these is to decompose the variables F^A, F^{kA}, P^A, μ_A in their corresponding non convective parts $\hat{F}^A$, $\hat{F}^{kA}$, $\hat{P}^A$, $\hat{\mu}_A$ and in velocity dependent parts, where the velocity is defined by

$$v^i = F^{-1} F^i \quad . \tag{9}$$

   This decomposition can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &F^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}) \hat{F}^B \quad , \quad F^{kA} - v^k F^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}) \hat{F}^{kB} \quad , \quad P^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}) \hat{P}^B \quad , \\ &h' = \hat{h}' \quad , \quad h'^k - v^k h' = \hat{h}'^k \quad , \quad \hat{\mu}_A = \mu_B X^B{}_A(\vec{v}) \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

   where

$$X^A{}_B(\vec{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v^i & \delta^i_a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v^i v^j & 2v^{(i}\delta^{j)}_a & \delta^i_{(a}\delta^j_{b)} & 0 & 0 \\ v^2 & 2v_a & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ v^2 v^i & v^2\delta^i_a + 2v^i v_a & 2\delta^i_{(a}v_{b)} & v^i & \delta^i_a \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

After that, all the conditions are expressed in terms of the non convective parts of the variables.
This procedure is described in [2], [36] for the case considering only the block $(1)_1$ and is followed in [1] for the whole set (1).
Another way to impose this principle leads to easier calculations; it is described in [37] for the case considering only the the block $(1)_1$ and here we show how it is adapt also for the whole set (1).

First of all, we need to know the transformation law of the variables between two reference frames moving one with respect to the other with a translational motion with constant translational velocity $\vec{v}_\tau$. To know it, we may rewrite (10) in both frames, that is

$$\begin{array}{l} F_a^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}_a)\hat{F}^B \quad , \quad F_a^{kA} - v_a^k F_a^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}_a)\hat{F}^{kB} \quad , \\ h'_a = \hat{h}' \quad , \quad h'^k_a - v_a^k h'_a = \hat{h}'^k \quad , \quad \hat{\mu}^a_A = \mu^a_B X^B{}_A(\vec{v}_a) \quad , \end{array} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} F_r^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}_r)\hat{F}^B \quad , \quad F_r^{kA} - v_r^k F_r^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}_r)\hat{F}^{kB} \quad , \\ h'_r = \hat{h}' \quad , \quad h'^k_r - v_r^k h'_r = \hat{h}'^k \quad , \quad \hat{\mu}^r_A = \mu^r_B X^B{}_A(\vec{v}_r) \quad , \end{array}$$

where the index a denotes quantities in the absolute reference frame and index r denotes quantities in the relative one; $\hat{F}^B$, $\hat{F}^{kB}$, $\hat{h}'$, $\hat{h}'^k$, $\hat{\mu}_B$ haven't the index a, nor the index r because they are independent from the reference frame.
Now we can use a property of the matrix $X^A{}_B(\vec{v})$ which is a consequence of its definition (11) and reads

$$X^C{}_A(-\vec{v})X^A{}_B(\vec{v}) = \delta^C_B \quad . \tag{13}$$

So we may contract $(12)_{6,7}$ with $X^C{}_A(-\vec{v}_r)$ so obtaining

$$\hat{F}^C = X^C{}_A(-\vec{v}_r)F_r^A \quad , \quad \hat{F}^{kC} = X^C{}_A(-\vec{v}_r)(F_r^{kA} - v_r^k F_r^A)$$

which can be substituted in $(12)_{1,2}$. The result is

$$F_a^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}_a)X^B{}_C(-\vec{v}_r)F_r^C \quad , \quad F_a^{kA} - v_a^k F_a^A = X^A{}_B(\vec{v}_a)X^B{}_C(-\vec{v}_r)(F_r^{kC} - v_r^k F_r^C)\,. \tag{14}$$

Now we use another property of the matrix $X^A{}_B(\vec{v})$ which is a consequence of its definition (11) and reads

$$X^A{}_B(\vec{u})X^B{}_C(\vec{w}) = X^A{}_C(\vec{u}+\vec{w}) \quad . \tag{15}$$

Moreover, we use the well known property

$$\vec{v}_a = \vec{v}_r + \vec{v}_\tau \quad . \tag{16}$$

In this way the equations (14) become

$$\begin{array}{l} F_a^A = X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau)F_r^C \quad , \\ F_a^{kA} - v_a^k F_a^A = X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau)F_r^{kC} - v_r^k X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau)F_r^C \,, \end{array} \tag{17}$$

In eq. $(17)_2$ we can substitute $X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau)F_r^C$ from eq. $(17)_1$ so that it becomes

$$F_a^{kA} - v_\tau^k F_a^A = X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau)F_r^{kC}\,. \tag{18}$$

Finally, we deduce $\hat{h}'$, $\hat{h}'^k$ and $\hat{\mu}_A$ from $(12)_{8,9,10}$ and substitute them in $(12)_{3,4,5}$ so obtaining

$$h'_a = h'_r \quad , \quad h'^k_a - v_\tau^k h' = h'^k_r \quad , \quad \mu^r_C = \mu^a_B X^B{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau) \quad , \tag{19}$$

where for the last one we have also used a contraction with $X^A{}_C(-\vec{v}_r)$.
Well, eqs. $(17)_1$, (18) and (19) give the requested transformation law between the two reference frames and it is very interesting that it looks like eqs. (10).

Now, if the Lagrange Multipliers are taken as independent variables, eqs. $(19)_3$ are only a change of independent variables from μ^a_B to μ^r_C, while $(17)_1$, (18), $(19)_{1,2}$ are conditions because they involve constitutive functions

$$\begin{aligned} &F^A_a = F^A(\mu^a_B)\,,\ F^{kA}_a = F^{kA}(\mu^a_B)\,,\ h'_a = h'(\mu^a_B)\,,\ h'^k_a = h'^k(\mu^a_B)\,, \\ &F^A_r = F^A(\mu^r_B)\,,\ F^{kA}_r = F^{kA}(\mu^r_B)\,,\ h'_r = h'(\mu^r_B)\,,\ h'^k_r = h'^k(\mu^a_B)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where the form of the functions F^A, F^{kA}, h', h'^k don' t depend on the reference frame for the Galilean Relativity Principle. If we substitute μ^a_B from eq. $(19)_3$ in $(20)_{1-4}$ and then substitute the result in $(17)_1$, (18), $(19)_{1,2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &F^A(\mu^r_C X^C{}_B(-\vec{v}_\tau)) = X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau) F^C_r\,, \\ &F^{kA}(\mu^r_C X^C{}_B(-\vec{v}_\tau)) - v^k_\tau X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau) F^C_r = X^A{}_C(\vec{v}_\tau) F^{kC}_r\,, \\ &h'(\mu^r_C X^C{}_B(-\vec{v}_\tau)) = h'_r\,, \\ &h'^k(\mu^r_C X^C{}_B(-\vec{v}_\tau)) - h' v^k_\tau = h'^k_r\,. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Well, these expressions calculated in $v^i_\tau = 0$ are nothing more than eqs. $(20)_{5-8}$, as we expected. But, for the Galilean Relativity Principle they must be coincident for whatever value of v^i_τ; this amounts to say that the derivatives of (21) with respect to v^i_τ must hold.
This constraint can be written explicitly more easily if we take into account that $\mu^r_C X^C{}_B(-\vec{v}_\tau) = \mu^a_B$ which can be written explicitly by use of (11) and reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu^a = \mu^r - \mu^r_i v^i_\tau + \mu^r_{ij} v^i_\tau v^j_\tau + \lambda^r v^2_\tau - \lambda^r_i v^i_\tau v^2_\tau\,, \\ &\mu^a_h = \mu^r_h - 2\mu^r_{ih} v^i_\tau - 2\lambda^r v_{\tau h} + \lambda^r_i (v^2_\tau \delta^i_h + 2 v^i_\tau v_{\tau h})\,, \\ &\mu^a_{hk} = \mu^r_{hk} - 2\lambda^r_i v_{\tau(h}\delta^i_{k)}\,, \\ &\lambda^a = \lambda^r - \lambda^r_i v^i_\tau\,, \\ &\lambda^a_h = \lambda^r_h\,, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial \mu^a}{\partial v^i_\tau} = -\mu^a_i \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mu^a_h}{\partial v^i_\tau} = -2\mu^a_{ih} - 2\lambda^a \delta_{hi} \quad , \\ &\frac{\partial \mu^a_{hk}}{\partial v^i_\tau} = -2\lambda^a_{(h}\delta_{k)i} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \lambda^a}{\partial v^i_\tau} = -\lambda^a_i \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \lambda^a_h}{\partial v^i_\tau} = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Consequently, the derivatives of $(21)_{3,4}$ with respect to v^i_τ become

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu}\mu_i + \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_h}(2\mu_{ih} + 2\lambda\delta_{hi}) + 2\frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_{hi}}\lambda_h + \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \lambda}\lambda_i = 0\,, \\ &\frac{\partial h'^k}{\partial \mu}\mu_i + \frac{\partial h'^k}{\partial \mu_h}(2\mu_{ih} + 2\lambda\delta_{hi}) + 2\frac{\partial h'^k}{\partial \mu_{hi}}\lambda_h + \frac{\partial h'^k}{\partial \lambda}\lambda_i + h'\delta^{ki} = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where we have omitted the index a denoting variables in the absolute reference frame because they remain unchanged if we change v^i_τ with $-v^i_\tau$, that is, if we exchange the absolute and the relative reference frames.
It is not necessary to impose the derivatives of $(21)_{1,2}$ with respect to v^i_τ because they are consequences of (24) and (6).
Consequently, the Galilean Relativity Principle amounts simply in the 2 equations (24).

So we have to find the most general functions satisfying (7) and (24). After that, we have to use eqs. $(6)_1$ to obtain the Lagrange Multipliers in terms of the variables F^A. By substituting them in $(6)_2$ and in $h' = h'(\mu_A)$, $h'^k = h'^k(\mu_A)$ we obtain the constitutive functions in terms of the variables F^A. If we want the non convective parts of our expressions, it suffices to calculate the left hand side of eqs. $(6)_1$ in $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ so that they become

$$\hat{F}^A = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_A} \quad . \tag{25}$$

From this equation we obtain the Lagrange Multipliers in terms of $\hat{F}^A$ (Obviously, they will be $\hat{\mu}_A$) and after that substitute them in $h' = h'(\mu_A)$, $h'^k = h'^k(\mu_A)$ (the last of which will in effect be $\hat{h}'^k$) and into $\hat{F}^{kA} = \frac{\partial h'^k}{\partial \mu_A}$, that is eq. $(6)_2$ calculated in $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$.
It has to be noted that from (9) it follows $\hat{F}^i = 0$, so that one of the equations (25) is $0 = \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \mu_i}$; this doesn' t mean that h' doesn' t depend on μ_i, but this is simply an implicit function defining jointly with the other equations (25) the quantities $\hat{\mu}_A$ in terms of $\hat{F}^A$.
By using a procedure similar to that of the paper [37], we can prove that we obtain the same results of the firstly described approach.
Now, from $(7)_2$ it follows $\frac{\partial h'^{[i}}{\partial \mu_{j]}} = 0$; this equation, together with $(7)_1$ are equivalent to assuming the existence of a scalar function H such that

$$h' = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu} \quad , \quad h'^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu_i} \quad . \tag{26}$$

In fact, the integrability conditions for (26) are exactly $(7)_1$ and $\frac{\partial h'^{[i}}{\partial \mu_{j]}} = 0$.
Thanks to (26), we can rewrite (7) and (24) as

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_i \partial \mu_j} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu_i} \quad . \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu^2}\mu_i + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_h}(2\mu_{ih} + 2\lambda\delta_{hi}) + 2\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{hi}}\lambda_h + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda}\lambda_i = 0\,, \\ &\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_k}\mu_i + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_h \partial \mu_k}(2\mu_{ih} + 2\lambda\delta_{hi}) + 2\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_k \partial \mu_{hi}}\lambda_h + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_k \partial \lambda}\lambda_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu}\delta^{ki} = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We note now that the derivative of $(28)_1$ with respect to μ_k is equal to the derivative of $(28)_2$ with respect to μ; similarly, the derivative of $(28)_1$ with respect to λ_k is equal to the derivative of $(28)_2$ with respect to λ, as it can be seen by using also eqs. (27).
Consequently, the left hand side of eq. $(28)_1$ is a vectorial function depending only on two scalars μ, λ and on a symmetric tensor μ_{ij}. For the Representation Theorems [38]-[46], it can be only zero. In other words, eq. $(28)_1$ is a consequence of (27) and $(28)_2$, so that it has not to be imposed. By using eqs. (27) we can rewrite eq. $(28)_2$ as

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_k}\mu_i + 2\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{kj}}\mu_{ji} + 2\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{ki}}\lambda + 2\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_k \partial \mu_{ij}}\lambda_j + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_k}\lambda_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu}\delta^{ki} = 0\,. \tag{29}$$

In an article which still needs to be written, Carrisi has found the general solution up to whatever order with respect to equilibrium, of the conditions (27), (29) and also of (8) which now, by use of eqs. (26) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_{[k} \partial \mu_{i]j}} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \mu_{[k} \partial \lambda_{i]}} = 0\,. \tag{30}$$

For a more agreement with the article [1] we want now to do the same thing without imposing (30). However, although it may seem strange, with less conditions the calculations become heavier! In fact, if it was possible to use the conditions (30), we see that the function $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu_k}$ has all the derivatives with respect to μ_i, μ_{ij}, λ_i which are symmetric tensors, so that its Taylor ' s expansion around equilibrium is

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu_k} = \sum_{p,q,r}^{0\cdots\infty} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} H^{ki_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1 \cdots h_q k_q j_1 \cdots j_r}_{p,q,r} \mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1 k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_q k_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,, \tag{31}$$

$$\text{where} \quad H^{ki_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1 \cdots h_q k_q j_1 \cdots j_r}_{p,q,r} = \left(\frac{\partial^{p+q+r} H}{\partial\mu_{i_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{i_p}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_1 k_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_q k_q}\cdots\partial\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\partial\lambda_{j_r}}\right)_{eq.}$$

is a symmetric tensor depending only on the scalars μ and λ, so that it has the form

$$H^{ki_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1 \cdots h_q k_q j_1 \cdots j_r}_{p,q,r} = \begin{cases} H_{p,q,r}(\mu,\lambda)\delta^{(ki_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1\cdots h_q k_q j_1\cdots j_r)} & \text{if } p+r+1 \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } p+r+1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta^{(a_1\cdots a_{2n})}$ denotes $\delta^{(a_1 a_2}\cdots\delta^{a_{2n-1}a_{2n})}$.
By integrating (31) we obtain

$$H = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}} \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} H_{p,q,r}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_{p+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_q k_q j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{32}$$
$$+\bar{H}(\mu,\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,,$$

where I_p denotes the set of all non negative integers r such that $r+p$ is even.
But also $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu}$ has all the derivatives which are symmetric tensors; in fact, the derivatives of (30) with respect to μ are

$$\frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{[k}\partial\mu_{i]j}} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{[k}\partial\lambda_{i]}} = 0\,. \tag{33}$$

The derivatives of (27) with respect to μ_{ab} are

$$\frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu_{ab}\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu_{ab}\partial\mu_i\partial\mu_j} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu_{ab}\partial\mu\partial\lambda_i} = \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu_{ab}\partial\lambda\partial\mu_i} \quad ,$$

whose skew-symmetric parts with respect to b and i are

$$\frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{a[b}\partial\mu_{i]j}} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{a[b}\partial\lambda_{i]}} = 0 \quad , \tag{34}$$

thanks to eq. (30).
By using (32) we obtain that also $\frac{\partial\bar{H}}{\partial\mu}$ has all the derivatives which are symmetric tensors, so that its expansion around equilibrium is

$$\frac{\partial\bar{H}}{\partial\mu} = \sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\partial\bar{H}_{q,r}}{\partial\mu}(\mu,\lambda)\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,, \tag{35}$$

where the derivative of $\bar{H}_{q,r}$ has been introduced for later convenience and without loss of generality. By integrating (35) we obtain

$$\bar{H} = \sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_0} \frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\bar{H}_{q,r}(\mu,\lambda)\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \bar{\bar{H}}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,. \tag{36}$$

But the function H is present in (27), (28), (29) only through its derivatives with respect to μ and μ_k so that the function $\bar{\bar{H}}$ doesn't effect the results and, consequently, it is not restrictive to assume that its derivatives are symmetric tensors. By substituting (36) in (32) we see that the function H has derivatives which are symmetric tensors and we have also its expansion.
Now, in the present article, we cannot use this property because we don' t have the constraints (30). To overcome this difficulty we proceed as follows. Firstly,

1. We show a particular solution of (27) and (29).
   Let $\psi_n(\mu,\lambda)$ be a family of functions constrained by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\psi_{n+1} = \psi_n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0\,. \tag{37}$$

   Let us define the function

$$H_1 = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{(p+2q+r+1)!!}{p+2q+r+1}\frac{\partial^{r+p}}{\partial\lambda^r\partial\mu^p}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{q+\frac{p+r}{2}}\psi_{\frac{p+r}{2}}\right]\cdot \tag{38}$$
$$\cdot\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

   In Appendix 1 we will show that eqs. (27) and (29) are satisfied if H is replaced by H_1; in other words, $H = H_1$ is a particular solution of our conditions.
   Moreover, $(H_1)_{eq.} = \psi_0(\mu,\lambda)$ which is an arbitrary two-variables function, such as $H_{eq.}$. So we can identify

$$\psi_0(\mu,\lambda) = H_{eq.} \tag{39}$$

   and define

$$\Delta H = H - H_1\,. \tag{40}$$

   In this way the conditions (27) and (29) become

$$\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_i\partial\mu_j} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\lambda_i} = \frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\lambda\partial\mu_i} \quad , \tag{41}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_k}\mu_i + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{kj}}\mu_{ji} + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ki}}\lambda + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_k\partial\mu_{ij}}\lambda_j + \frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\lambda_k}\lambda_i + \frac{\partial\Delta H}{\partial\mu}\delta^{ki} = 0$$

$$\text{and we have also} \quad (\Delta H)_{eq.} = 0\,. \tag{42}$$

   An interesting consequence of (41) and (42) is the following
   PROPERTY 1: " The expansion of ΔH up to order $n \geq 1$ with respect to equilibrium is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in the variable μ."
   Let us prove this with the iterative procedure and let ΔH^n denote the homogeneous part of ΔH of order n with respect to equilibrium. We have,

- Case $n = 1$: The equation $(41)_3$ at equilibrium, thanks to (42) becomes $2\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^1}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{ki}}\lambda = 0$ from which we have that $\frac{\partial \Delta H^1}{\partial \mu}$ can depend only on μ, μ_i, λ, λ_c; but the representation theorems show that no scalar function of order 1 with respect to equilibrium can depend only on these variables. It follows that $\frac{\partial \Delta H^1}{\partial \mu} = 0$ so that ΔH^1 is of degree zero with respect to μ and the property is verified for this case.
- Case $n \geq 2$: Let us suppose, for the iterative hypothesis that ΔH up to order $n \geq 1$ with respect to equilibrium is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in the variable μ; we proceed now to prove that this property holds also with $n+1$ instead of n.

In fact, eq. $(41)_1$ up to order $n-1$ gives
$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^n}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^{n+1}}{\partial \mu_i \partial \mu_j}$ from which we have

$$\Delta H^{n+1} = P_{n-2} + \Delta H_i^{n+1}(\mu, \mu_{ab}, \lambda, \lambda_c)\mu^i + \Delta H_0^{n+1}(\mu, \mu_{ab}, \lambda, \lambda_c) \tag{43}$$

where P_{n-2} is a polynomial of degree $n-2$ in μ and which is at least quadratic in μ_j.
After that, eq. $(41)_2$ up to order n gives
$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^{n+1}}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^{n+1}}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu_i}$
which, thanks to (43) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 P_{n-2}}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta H_j^{n+1}}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta H_0^{n+1}}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial^2 P_{n-2}}{\partial \lambda \partial \mu_i} + \frac{\partial \Delta H_i^{n+1}}{\partial \lambda}\,. \tag{44}$$

This relation, calculated in $\mu_j = 0$ gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H_0^{n+1}}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial \Delta H_i^{n+1}}{\partial \lambda} \tag{45}$$

because P_{n-2} is at least quadratic in μ_j.
The derivative of (44) with respect to μ_j, calculated then in $\mu_j = 0$, is
$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H_j^{n+1}}{\partial \mu \partial \lambda_i} = \left(\frac{\partial^3 P_{n-2}}{\partial \mu_j \partial \lambda \partial \mu_i}\right)_{\mu_j = 0}$ from which $\frac{\partial \Delta H_j^{n+1}}{\partial \lambda_i} = P_{n-1}^{ij}$ with P_{n-1}^{ij} a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in μ. By integrating this relation, we obtain
$\Delta H_i^{n+1} = P_{n-1}^i + f_{n-1}^i(\mu, \mu_{ab}, \lambda,)$
where P_{n-1}^i is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in μ. But, for the Representation Theorems, a vectorial function such as f_{n-1}^i is zero because it depends only on scalars and on a second order tensor. It follows that

$$\Delta H_i^{n+1} = P_{n-1}^i\,. \tag{46}$$

By using this result, eq. (45) can be integrated and gives

$$\frac{\partial \Delta H_0^{n+1}}{\partial \lambda_i} = P_n^i \tag{47}$$

with P_n^i a polynomial of degree n in μ.
Now we impose eq. $(41)_3$ at order n and see that its first, second, fifth and sixth terms are of degree $n-2$ in μ so that we have
$2\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^{n+1}}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_{ki}}\lambda + 2\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H^{n+1}}{\partial \mu_k \partial \mu_{ij}}\lambda_j = Q_{n-2}$
with Q_{n-2} a polynomial of degree $n-2$ in μ. This relation, thanks to (43) becomes

$2\lambda\frac{\partial^2\Delta H_a^{n+1}}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ki}}\mu^a + 2\lambda\frac{\partial^2\Delta H_0^{n+1}}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ki}} + 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 P_{n-2}}{\partial\mu_k\partial\mu_{ij}} + 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\partial\mu_{ij}}\Delta H_k^{n+1} = Z_{n-2}$
with Z_{n-2} a polynomial of degree $n-2$ in μ.
This relation, calculated in $\mu_j = 0$, thanks to (46) and to the fact that P_{n-2} is at least quadratic in μ_j, gives
$2\lambda\frac{\partial^2\Delta H_0^{n+1}}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ki}} = \bar{Q}_{n-1}^{ki}$
with $\bar{Q}_{n-1}^{ki}$ a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in μ. It follows that
$\frac{\partial\Delta H_0^{n+1}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} = \bar{P}_n^{ki}$
with $\bar{P}_n^{ki}$ a polynomial of degree n in μ. This result, jointly with (47) gives that

$$\Delta H_0^{n+1} = \tilde{P}_n + f(\mu,\lambda)\,. \tag{48}$$

But a function depending only on μ and λ cannot be of order $n+1$ with respect to equilibrium; it follows that $f(\mu,\lambda) = 0$.
Consequently, (48), (46) and (43) give that ΔH^{n+1} is a polynomial of degree n in μ and this completes the proof.
Thanks to this property, it is not restrictive to assume for ΔH a polynomial expansion of infty degree in the variable μ; we simply expect that the equations will stop by itself the terms with higher degree.
So, even if μ is not zero at equilibrium, for what concerns ΔH, we can do an expansion also around $\mu = 0$; obviously, the situation is different for the particular solution H_1 reported in eq. (38). The next step with which we proceed is the following one

2. We note that $\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu^2}$ has symmetric tensors as derivatives.
   In fact, from the derivatives of $(41)_{1,2}$ with respect to μ_k we can take the skew-symmetric part with respect to i and k so obtaining

$$\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{[k}\partial\mu_{i]j}} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{[k}\partial\lambda_{i]}} = 0\,. \tag{49}$$

   From the second derivatives of $(41)_{1,2}$ with respect to μ and μ_{ab}, we can take the skew-symmetric part with respect to i and b so obtaining

$$\frac{\partial^4\Delta H}{\partial\mu^2\partial\mu_{a[b}\partial\mu_{i]j}} = \frac{\partial^4\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{a[b}\partial\mu_{i]}\partial\mu_j} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial^4\Delta H}{\partial\mu^2\partial\mu_{a[b}\partial\lambda_{i]}} = \frac{\partial^4\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\lambda\partial\mu_{a[b}\partial\mu_{i]}} = 0 \tag{50}$$

   where (49) has been used in the second passage.
   Well, (50) and the derivatives of (49), with respect to μ, prove our property.

3. We now prove that $\frac{\partial\Delta H}{\partial\mu}$ is sum of $H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$ and of a scalar function whose derivatives are all symmetric tensors.

In fact, from (49) we deduce that $\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_k\partial\mu}$ has all symmetric derivatives so that its expansion around equilibrium is of the type

$$\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_k\partial\mu} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}H^*_{p,q,r}\delta^{(ki_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

By integrating this expression with respect to μ_k, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} H^*_{p,q,r}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_{p+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{51}$$
$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + H^*(\mu,\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,.$$

The derivative of (51) with respect to μ is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial H^*_{p,q,r}}{\partial \mu}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_{p+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{52}$$
$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial H^*}{\partial \mu}\,.$$

But also $\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2}$ has all symmetric derivatives so that its expansion is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_{p,q,r}(\mu,\lambda)}{\partial \mu}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{53}$$
$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

where $\tilde{H}_{p,q,r}$ appears trough its derivative with respect to μ for later convenience and without loss of generality.
By substituting (53) in (52) we find an expression from which we deduce $\frac{\partial H^*}{\partial \mu}$; by integrating it we obtain

$$H^* = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} \tilde{H}_{p,q,r}(\mu,\lambda)\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$
$$- \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{(p+1)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} H^*_{p,q,r}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_{p+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$
$$+H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,,$$

where H^{*0} arises from an integration with respect to μ so that it doesn' t depend on μ; moreover, it doesn' t depend on μ_i because H^* doesn' t depend on μ_i.
By substituting this expression in (51) we find that $\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu}$ is the sum of $H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$ and of a function whose derivatives are all symmetric tensors; consequently, it can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!} H_{p,q,r}(\mu,\lambda)\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{54}$$
$$+H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,.$$

If we take into account the result of Property 1, we see that also $\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu}$ can be expressed as a polynomial of infty degree in μ, so that (54) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!} \vartheta_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)\mu^s\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{55}$$
$$+H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,.$$

Now, if we substitute in (55) H^{*0} with
$H^{*0N} - \sum_q^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_0} \frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{0,q,r,0}(\lambda)\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}$, we note that (55) remains unchanged, except that now we have H^{*0N} instead of H^{*0} and zero instead of $\vartheta_{0,q,r,0}$. We conclude that we may still use eq. (55) and assume, without loss of generality that

$$\vartheta_{0,q,r,0}(\lambda) = 0\,. \tag{56}$$

If we calculate (55) at equilibrium, and take into account (42), we obtain
$0 = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{0,0,0,s}(\lambda)\mu^s + H^{*0}(0_{ab}, \lambda, 0_c)$.
Consequently we have $\vartheta_{0,0,0,s}(\lambda) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$, from which and from eq. (56) it follows

$$\vartheta_{0,0,0,s}(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 0\,. \tag{57}$$

Moreover, (42) will give

$$H^{*0}(0_{ab}, \lambda, 0_c) = 0\,. \tag{58}$$

1.1 Further restrictions

For the sequel, it will be useful to consider some consequences of eq. (41). They are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_j\partial\lambda_i\partial\mu} = \frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\lambda\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu} \quad , \\
&\left[\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_k}\mu_i + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{kj}}\mu_{ji} + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{ki}}\lambda + \frac{\partial\Delta H}{\partial\mu}\delta^{ki}\right]_{\lambda_j=0} = 0 \quad , \\
&\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_a\partial\mu_k\partial\mu}\mu_i + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu\partial\mu_{(k}}\delta_{a)i} + 2\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_a\partial\mu_{kj}\partial\mu}\mu_{ji} + 2\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_a\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\mu}\lambda + \\
&\qquad + \frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_a\partial\lambda_k\partial\mu}\lambda_i + 2\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}\partial\mu}\lambda_j = 0\,, \\
&\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\mu\partial\lambda}\mu_i + 2\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_{kj}\partial\mu\partial\lambda}\mu_{ji} + 2\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\mu\partial\lambda}\lambda + 2\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\mu} + \\
&\qquad + \frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\lambda_k\partial\mu\partial\lambda}\lambda_i + \frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\lambda\partial\mu}\delta^{ki} + 2\frac{\partial^3\Delta H}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k\partial\mu}\lambda_j = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The first one of these equations is obtained by taking the derivatives of $(41)_2$ with respect to μ_j and by substituting in its right hand side $\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_i\partial\mu_j}$ from $(41)_1$; the second one is obtained by simply calculating $(41)_3$ in $\lambda_j = 0$; similarly, $(59)_3$ is obtained by taking the derivative of $(41)_3$ with respect to μ_a and, subsequently, by substituting in its fourth term $\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\mu_k\partial\mu_a}$ from $(41)_1$. Finally, in the derivative of $(41)_3$ with respect to λ we can substitute $\frac{\partial^2\Delta H}{\partial\lambda\partial\mu_k}$ from $(41)_2$ in its fourth term; in this way $(59)_4$ is obtained.
We see that (59) are conditions on $\frac{\partial\Delta H}{\partial\mu}$ so that they may be considered a sort of integrability conditions on ΔH, if $\frac{\partial\Delta H}{\partial\mu}$ would be known.
In the next section, restrictions will be found to the scalar functions appearing in (55), by analyzing eqs. (41) and (59).

2 The expression for $\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu}$.

If we substitute (55) in the derivative of $(41)_{1,2}$ with respect to μ, we obtain

$$\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s+1} = \vartheta_{p+2,q,r,s} \quad , \quad \vartheta_{p,q,r+1,s+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \vartheta_{p+1,q,r,s} \, . \tag{60}$$

From $(60)_1$ we now obtain

$$\vartheta_{p,q,r,s} = \begin{cases} \vartheta_{0,q+\frac{p}{2},r,s+\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \\ \vartheta_{1,q+\frac{p-1}{2},r,s+\frac{p-1}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \, . \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

After that, we see that $(60)_1$ is satisfied as a consequence of (61).
Let us focus now our attention to eq. $(60)_2$; for $p = 0, 1$ it becomes

$$\vartheta_{0,q,r+1,s+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \vartheta_{1,q,r,s} \quad , \quad \vartheta_{1,q,r+1,s+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \vartheta_{0,q+1,r,s+1} \, , \tag{62}$$

where, for $(62)_2$ we have used (61) with $p = 2$.
After that, eq. $(60)_2$ with use of eq. (61)

- in the case with p even, gives $(62)_1$ with $(q + \frac{p}{2}, s + \frac{p}{2})$ instead of (q, s),
- in the case with p odd, gives $(62)_2$ with $(q + \frac{p-1}{2}, s + \frac{p-1}{2})$ instead of (q, s).

But we have now to impose the derivative of $(41)_3$ with respect to μ, that is

$$\frac{\partial^3 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2 \partial \mu_k} \mu_i + 2 \frac{\partial^3 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2 \partial \mu_{kj}} \mu_{ji} + 2 \frac{\partial^3 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2 \partial \mu_{ki}} \lambda + 2 \frac{\partial^3 \Delta H}{\partial \mu \partial \mu_k \partial \mu_{ij}} \lambda_j + \frac{\partial^3 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2 \partial \lambda_k} \lambda_i + \frac{\partial^2 \Delta H}{\partial \mu^2} \delta^{ki} = 0 \, .$$

To impose this relation, let us take its derivatives with respect to $\mu_{i_1}, \cdots, \mu_{i_P}, \mu_{h_1 k_1}, \cdots, \mu_{h_Q k_Q}$, $\lambda_{j_1}, \cdots, \lambda_{j_R}$ and let us calculate the result at equilibrium; in this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & P \delta^{i\overline{i_1}} \delta^{(\overline{i_2 \cdots i_P} k h_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q j_1 \cdots j_R)} \vartheta_{P,Q,R,s+1} + 2Q \delta^{i\overline{h_1}} \delta^{(\overline{k_1 h_2 k_2 \cdots h_Q k_Q} k i_1 \cdots i_P j_1 \cdots j_R)} \vartheta_{P,Q,R,s+1} + \\ & + 2\lambda \delta^{(kih_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q i_1 \cdots i_P j_1 \cdots j_R)} \vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R \delta^{(kih_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q i_1 \cdots i_P j_1 \cdots j_R)} \vartheta_{P+1,Q+1,R-1,s} + \\ & + R \delta^{i\overline{j_1}} \delta^{(\overline{j_2 \cdots j_R} k h_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q i_1 \cdots i_P)} \vartheta_{P,Q,R,s+1} + \delta^{ki} \delta^{(i_1 \cdots i_P h_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q j_1 \cdots j_R)} \vartheta_{P,Q,R,s+1} \, , \end{aligned}$$

where overlined indexes denote symmetrization over those indexes, after that the other one (round brackets around indexes) has been taken. (Note that, in the fourth term the index $R - 1$ appears; despite this fact, the equations holds also for $R = 0$ but in this case, this fourth term is not present as it is remembered also by the factor R).
Now, the first, second, fifth and sixth term can be put together so that the above expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & (P + 2Q + R + 1) \vartheta_{P,Q,R,s+1} \delta^{i\overline{i_1}} \delta^{(\overline{i_2 \cdots i_P k h_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q j_1 \cdots j_R})} + \\ & + (2\lambda \vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R \vartheta_{P+1,Q+1,R-1,s}) \delta^{(kih_1 k_1 \cdots h_Q k_Q i_1 \cdots i_P j_1 \cdots j_R)} \, , \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$0 = (P + 2Q + R + 1) \vartheta_{P,Q,R,s+1} + 2\lambda \vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R \vartheta_{P+1,Q+1,R-1,s} \, . \tag{63}$$

This relation, for $P = 0, 1$ reads

$$0 = (2Q + R + 1)\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s+1} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{0,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R-1,s}\,, \tag{64}$$
$$0 = (2Q + R + 2)\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s+1} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R\vartheta_{0,Q+2,R-1,s+1}\,,$$

with the agreement that the last terms are not present in the case $R = 0$. (For eq. $(64)_2$ we have used (61) with $p = 2$).
For the other values of P, eq. (63) with use of eq. (61)

- in the case with p even, gives $(64)_1$ with $(q + \frac{p}{2}, s + \frac{p}{2})$ instead of (q, s),
- in the case with p odd, gives $(64)_2$ with $(q + \frac{p-1}{2}, s + \frac{p-1}{2})$ instead of (q, s).

Summarizing the results, we have that (61) gives $\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s}$ in terms of $\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s}$ and $\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s}$, while eqs. (62) and (64) give restrictions on $\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s}$ and $\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s}$.

2.1 Consequences of the further restrictions

- We want now to impose eqs. (59). By substituting eq. (55) in $(59)_1$, we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda\partial\mu_{ij}} = \sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}(\vartheta_{p+1,q,r+1,s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s})\delta^{(iji_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{65}$$
$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

But, from eq. (61) and (62) it follows that $\vartheta_{p+1,q,r+1,s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s} = 0$ for $p \geq 1$, so that in (65) only the term with $p = 0$ survives. Moreover, from eq. $(62)_2$ it follows that $\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,s} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{0,q+1,r,s} = 0$ for $s \geq 1$, so that in (65) only the term with $s = 0$ survives. Consequently, (65) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda\partial\mu_{ij}} = \sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(ijh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,. \tag{66}$$

- By substituting now eq. (55) in $(59)_2$, we find

$$\mu_i\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{p\in I_1}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+1,q,o,s}(\lambda)\mu^s\delta^{(ki_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q} + \tag{67}$$

$$+2\mu_{ji}\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{p\in I_0}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,0,s}(\lambda)\mu^s\delta^{(kji_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q} + \left[\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}\right]_{\lambda_j=0}\right\} +$$
$$+2\lambda\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{p\in I_0}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,0,s}(\lambda)\mu^s\delta^{(kii_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q} + \left[\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}\right]_{\lambda_j=0}\right\} +$$
$$+\delta^{ki}\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{p\in I_0}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q,0,s}(\lambda)\mu^s\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q} + \left[H^{*0}\right]_{\lambda_j=0}\right\} = 0 \quad ;$$

this relation calculated in $\mu_j = 0$ becomes

$$2\mu_{ji}\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q+1,0,s}\mu^s\delta^{(kjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}+\left[\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}\right]_{\lambda_j=0}\right\}+$$
$$+2\lambda\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q+1,0,s}\mu^s\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}+\left[\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}\right]_{\lambda_j=0}\right\}+$$
$$+\delta^{ki}\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q,0,s}\mu^s\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}+\left[H^{*0}\right]_{\lambda_j=0}\right\}=0$$

whose derivative with respect to $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, calculated at equilibrium is

$$0=\sum_{s}^{0\cdots\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\Big[2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}k)}\vartheta_{0,Q,0,s}+2\lambda\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}\vartheta_{0,Q+1,0,s}+$$
$$+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}\vartheta_{0,Q,0,s}\Big]+$$
$$+\left\{\frac{\partial^Q}{\partial\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_Qk_Q}}\left[2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}+2\lambda\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+H^{*0}\delta^{ki}\right]\right\}_{\lambda_j=0,\,\mu_{ia}=0}.$$

where overlined indexes denote symmetrization over those indexes, after that the other one (round brackets around indexes) has been taken. Now, the first and third term can be written as $\sum_s^{0\cdots\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}(2Q+1)\vartheta_{0,Q,0,s}\delta^{i(h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qk)}$ so that the above expression becomes

$$0=\sum_{s}^{0\cdots\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\left[(2Q+1)\vartheta_{0,Q,0,s}+2\lambda\vartheta_{0,Q+1,0,s}\right]\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}+ \tag{68}$$
$$+\left\{\frac{\partial^Q}{\partial\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_Qk_Q}}\left[2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}+2\lambda\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+H^{*0}\delta^{ki}\right]\right\}_{\lambda_j=0,\,\mu_{ia}=0}.$$

But the terms with $s\geq 1$ are zero for eq. $(64)_1$ with $R=0$; also the terms with $s=0$ are zero for eq. (56), so that from (68) there remains

$$0=\left\{\frac{\partial^Q}{\partial\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_Qk_Q}}\left[2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}+2\lambda\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+H^{*0}\delta^{ki}\right]\right\}_{\lambda_j=0,\,\mu_{ia}=0}. \tag{69}$$

But we have still to impose the derivative of (67) with respect to μ_{i_1}, $\cdots$, μ_{i_P}, $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$ for $P\geq 1$ and calculated at equilibrium. We obtain

$$0=P\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\delta^{i\overline{i_1}}\delta^{(\overline{i_2\cdots i_P}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qk)}\vartheta_{P,Q,0,s}+2Q\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}i_1\cdots i_Pk)}\vartheta_{P,Q,0,s}+$$
$$+2\lambda\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_P)}\vartheta_{P,Q+1,0,s}+\delta^{ki}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}\vartheta_{P,Q,0,s}\,,$$

that is,

$$0=(P+2Q+1)\vartheta_{P,Q,0,s}+2\lambda\vartheta_{P,Q+1,0,s}\,,\ \forall P\geq 1\,,\ s\geq 0\,. \tag{70}$$

Now P must be even because $R=0$, so that this equation must hold $\forall P\geq 2\,,\ s\geq 0$; by using (61) it becomes
$0=(P+2Q+1)\vartheta_{0,Q+\frac{P}{2},0,s+\frac{P}{2}}+2\lambda\vartheta_{0,Q+1+\frac{P}{2},0,s+\frac{P}{2}}$ which is already satisfied because it is nothing more than $(64)_1$ with $R=0$ and with $(Q+\frac{P}{2}\,,\ s+\frac{P}{2})$ instead of $(Q\,,\ s)$.

- Let us impose now eq. $(59)_3$. By using eq. (55) it becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\mu_i\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+2,q,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(aki_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+ \qquad (71)\\
+2\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+1,q,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{i(a}\delta^{(k)i_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\mu_{ji}\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+1,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(akji_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\lambda\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+1,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(akii_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+\lambda_i\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+1,q,r+1,s}\mu^s\delta^{(kai_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\lambda_j\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q+2,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(ijkai_1\cdots i_ph_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

This relation, calculated in $\mu_j=0$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=2\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{1,q,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{i(a}\delta^{(k)h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+ \qquad (72)\\
+2\mu_{ji}\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(akjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\lambda\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+\lambda_i\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,s}\mu^s\delta^{(kah_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\lambda_j\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q+2,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(ijkah_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

This relation, calculated in $\mu = 0$ becomes

$$0 = 2\sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{1,q,r,0}\delta^{i(a}\delta^{(k)h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{73}$$

$$+2\mu_{ji}\sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(akjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$

$$+2\lambda\sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$

$$+\lambda_i\sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(kah_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$

$$+2\lambda_j\sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{0,q+2,r,0}\delta^{(ijkah_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$

$$+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}}\,.$$

Now, there remains to considers the terms with $s \geq 1$ in (72). Taking of this part the derivative with respect to $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, λ_{j_1}, $\cdots$, λ_{j_R} and calculating the result at equilibrium, it becomes

$$0 = 2\delta^{i(a}\delta^{(k)h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s} + 2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}kj_1\cdots j_Rak)}\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s} +$$
$$+2\lambda\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R,s} + R\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_R}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qka)}\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s} +$$
$$+2R\delta^{(ikah_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{0,Q+2,R-1,s}\,,$$

where overlined indexes denote symmetrization over those indexes, after that the other one (round brackets around indexes) has been taken. (Note that, in the last term the index $R-1$ appears; despite this fact, the equations holds also for $R = 0$ but in this case, this last term is not present as it is remembered also by the factor R).
Now, the first, second, and fourth term can be put together so that the above expression becomes

$$0 = (2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s}\delta^{i\overline{a}}\delta^{(\overline{kh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R})} +$$
$$+(2\lambda\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R,s} + 2R\vartheta_{0,Q+2,R-1,s})\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\,.$$

Obviously, also for the terms with $s = 0$ the corresponding elements can be put together, so that the expression (73) can be written also as

$$0 = \sum_{q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\left[(2q+r+2)\vartheta_{1,q,r,0} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,0}\right]\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{74}$$

$$+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}}\,,$$

where (56) has been used. After that, (74) becomes

$$0 = (2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{1,Q,R,s} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R,s} + 2R\vartheta_{0,Q+2,R-1,s} \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 1\,,$$

which is nothing more than $(64)_2$.
There remains now to take the derivatives of (71) with respect to $\mu_{i_1}, \cdots, \mu_{i_P}\ \mu_{h_1k_1}, \cdots, \mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, $\lambda_{j_1}, \cdots, \lambda_{j_R}$ and calculate the result at equilibrium, but only for $P \geq 1$; we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 = P\delta^{i\overline{i_1}}\delta^{(\overline{i_2\cdots i_P}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_Rak)}\vartheta_{P+1,Q,R,s} + 2\delta^{i(a}\delta^{(k)i_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{P+1,Q,R,s} + \\ +2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}i_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_Rak)}\vartheta_{P+1,Q,R,s} + 2\lambda\delta^{(akii_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{P+1,Q+1,R,s} + \\ +R\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_R}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pka)}\vartheta_{P+1,Q,R,s} + 2R\delta^{(kiah_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{P,Q+2,R-1,s}\,,\end{aligned}$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned}0 = (P+2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{P+1,Q,R,s}\delta^{i\overline{a}}\delta^{(\overline{ki_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R})} + \\ +(2\lambda\vartheta_{P+1,Q+1,R,s} + 2R\vartheta_{P,Q+2,R-1,s})\delta^{(kiah_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}\,,\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$0 = (P+2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{P+1,Q,R,s} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{P+1,Q+1,R,s} + 2R\vartheta_{P,Q+2,R-1,s} \quad \text{for} \quad P \geq 1\,,\ s \geq 0\,. \tag{75}$$

- Now, in the case with P even and $P \geq 2$, this relation by using (61) becomes

  $$0 = (P+2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{1,Q+\frac{P}{2},R,s+\frac{P}{2}} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,Q+1+\frac{P}{2},R,s+\frac{P}{2}} + 2R\vartheta_{0,Q+2+\frac{P}{2},R-1,s+\frac{P}{2}}\,.$$

  which is satisfied as a consequence of $(64)_2$ with $(Q+\frac{P}{2}, s+\frac{P-2}{2})$ instead of (Q,s).

- Instead of this, in the case with P odd and $P \geq 1$, eq. (75) by using (61) becomes

  $$\begin{aligned}0 = (P+2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{0,Q+\frac{P+1}{2},R,s+\frac{P+1}{2}} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{0,Q+1+\frac{P+1}{2},R,s+\frac{P+1}{2}} + \\ +2R\vartheta_{1,Q+2+\frac{P-1}{2},R-1,s+\frac{P-1}{2}}\,.\end{aligned}$$

  which is satisfied as a consequence of $(64)_1$ with $(Q+\frac{P+1}{2}, s+\frac{P-1}{2})$ instead of (Q,s).

We may conclude that the only consequence of eq. $(59)_3$ is given by (74).

- Let us conclude by imposing eq. $(59)_4$. By using eq. (55) it becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = \mu_i \sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_{p+1}} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p+1,q,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(ki_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \qquad (76)\\
\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +\\
+2\mu_{ji}\left\{\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(jki_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\right.\\
\left.\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}\partial\lambda}\right\} +\\
+\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\left(2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s}+2\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s}\right)\mu^s\delta^{(iki_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\\
\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + 2\lambda\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\lambda} + \frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} +\\
+\lambda_i\left\{\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q,r+1,s}\mu^s\delta^{(ki_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\right.\\
\left.\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_k\partial\lambda}\right\} +\\
+\delta^{ki}\left\{\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\right.\\
\left.\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda}\right\} +\\
+2\lambda_j\left\{\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r+1,s}\mu^s\delta^{(ijki_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\right.\\
\left.\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the part of this expression which doesn' t involve H^{*0} and let us take its derivatives with respect to $\mu_{i_1}, \cdots, \mu_{i_P}\ \mu_{h_1k_1}, \cdots, \mu_{h_Qk_Q}, \lambda_{j_1}, \cdots, \lambda_{j_R}$ calculated at equilibrium; it is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\left[P\delta^{i\overline{i_1}}\delta^{(\overline{i_2\cdots i_P}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_Rk)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s}+\right.\\
+2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}i_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_Rk)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s} +\\
+\left(2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}+2\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}\right)\delta^{(kii_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)} +\\
+R\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_R}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pk)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s}+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s} +\\
\left.+\ 2R\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to

$$0=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\left[(P+2Q+R+1)\delta^{i\bar{k}}\delta^{(\overline{i_1\cdots i_P h_1 k_1\cdots h_Q k_Q j_1\cdots j_R})}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s}+\right.$$
$$\left.+\left(2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}+2\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}+2R\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}\right)\delta^{(kii_1\cdots i_P h_1 k_1\cdots h_Q k_Q j_1\cdots j_R)}\right]=$$
$$=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\left[(P+2Q+R+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,s}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}+2(R+1)\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,s}\right]$$
$$\delta^{(kii_1\cdots i_P h_1 k_1\cdots h_Q k_Q j_1\cdots j_R)}\,.$$

Now, in this expression, the terms with $s\geq 1$ are zero, thanks to derivative of (63) with respect to λ with an aid from eq. $(60)_2$. Consequently, there remains

$$\left[(P+2Q+R+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q,R,0}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,0}+2(R+1)\vartheta_{P,Q+1,R,0}\right]\delta^{(kii_1\cdots i_P h_1 k_1\cdots h_Q k_Q j_1\cdots j_R)}\,.$$

This result allows to rewrite eq. (76) as

$$0=2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}\partial\lambda}+2\lambda\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\lambda}+2\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+\lambda_i\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_k\partial\lambda}+\delta^{ki}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda}+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k}+ \tag{77}$$
$$+\sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\left[(p+2q+r+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q,r,0}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}+\right.$$
$$\left.+2(r+1)\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}\right]\delta^{(kii_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1\cdots h_q k_q j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1 k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_q k_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

Now we note that, if $p=0$, thanks to (56), the term of (77) inside the square brackets is zero. Moreover, if p is even and $p\geq 2$, the term of (77) inside the square brackets can be written with use of eq. (61) as

$$(p+2q+r+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{0,q+\frac{p}{2},r,\frac{p}{2}}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{0,q+1+\frac{p}{2},r,\frac{p}{2}}+2(r+1)\vartheta_{0,q+1+\frac{p}{2},r,\frac{p}{2}}$$

which is zero, thanks to derivative of (63) with respect to λ with an aid from eq. $(60)_2$.
Similarly, if p is odd and $p\geq 3$, the term of (77) inside the square brackets can be written with use of eq. (61) as

$$(p+2q+r+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{1,q+\frac{p-1}{2},r,\frac{p-1}{2}}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{1,q+1+\frac{p-1}{2},r,\frac{p-1}{2}}+2(r+1)\vartheta_{1,q+1+\frac{p-1}{2},r,\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

which is zero, thanks to derivative of (63) with respect to λ with an aid from eq. $(60)_2$.
Finally, if $p=1$ the term of (77) inside the square brackets is

$$(2q+r+2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{1,q,r,0}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,0}+2(r+1)\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,0}=$$
$$=(2q+r+2)\vartheta_{0,q,r+1,1}+2\lambda\vartheta_{0,q+1,r+1,1}+2(r+1)\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,0}\,,$$

where, in the second passage $(62)_1$ has been used. The result is zero, thanks to $(64)_1$ with $Q=q$, $R=r+1$, $s=0$.
Thanks to these results, eq. (77) becomes

$$0=2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}\partial\lambda}+2\lambda\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}\partial\lambda}+2\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+\lambda_i\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_k\partial\lambda}+\delta^{ki}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda}+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k}\,. \tag{78}$$

Summarizing the results of this subsection, we have found the restrictions (66), (69), (74) and (78) on H^{*0}.

3 The expression for ΔH.

By integrating (55) with respect to μ, we obtain

$$\Delta H = \sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{(s+1)!}\vartheta_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)\mu^{s+1}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1\cdots h_q k_q j_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{79}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \mu H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c) + \tilde{\tilde{H}}(\mu_a,\mu_{bc},\lambda,\lambda_d)\,.$$

By substituting ΔH from here into $(41)_1$ we find

$$\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(iji_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}} =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p+2,q,r,s-1}\mu^s\delta^{(iji_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial^2\tilde{\tilde{H}}}{\partial\mu_i\partial\mu_j}\,,$$

which can be rewritten by taking into account that, from (61) it follows $\vartheta_{p+2,q,r,s-1} = \vartheta_{p,q+1,r,s}$ for $s \geq 1$; so it becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2\tilde{\tilde{H}}}{\partial\mu_i\partial\mu_j} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(iji_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}}\,,$$

which can be integrated and gives

$$\tilde{\tilde{H}} = \sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{(p+2)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_{p+2}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{80}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+2}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_i\mu_j\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}} + \tilde{\tilde{H}}^i(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\mu_i + \tilde{\tilde{H}}^0(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,,$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i$ and $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^0$ arise from the integration.
By substituting ΔH from (79) into $(41)_2$, and by taking into account (80), we find

$$\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\vartheta_{p,q,r+1,s}\mu^s\delta^{(ii_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{81}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_i}=$$

$$=\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{(s+1)!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p+1,q,r,s}\mu^{s+1}\delta^{(ii_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+$$

$$+\sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{(p+1)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(ii_1\cdots i_{p+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\mu_j\frac{\partial^2H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda}+\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i}{\partial\lambda}\,.$$

Now, thanks to $(60)_2$, the terms with degree greater than zero in μ cancel each other so that (81) becomes

$$\sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_{p+1}}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{p,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(ii_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \tag{82}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_i}=$$

$$=\sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{(p+1)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(ii_1\cdots i_{p+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\mu_j\frac{\partial^2H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda}+\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i}{\partial\lambda}\,.$$

This relation, calculated in $\mu_j=0$, thanks to (56), becomes

$$\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_i}=\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i}{\partial\lambda}\,. \tag{83}$$

The terms in (82) which are linear in μ_j cancel each other thanks to (66) and (56). Moreover, from eq. (61) and (62) it follows that $\vartheta_{p+2,q,r+1,s}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\vartheta_{p+1,q+1,r,s}=0$ for $p\geq 0$, so that in (82) all the term of degree greater than 1 in μ_j cancel each other. We may conclude that $(41)_2$ has only (83) as a consequence.
Let us substitute now ΔH from (79) into $(41)_3$ and take into account (80); we obtain a relation whose derivative with respect to μ_a has already been imposed because this was the way in which we obtained $(59)_3$. Consequently, there remains now to impose only its value in $\mu_a=0$, which is

$$2\mu_{ji}\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q+1,r,s}\mu^s\delta^{(kjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}\right\}+ \tag{84}$$

$$+2\lambda\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q+1,r,s}\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}\right\}+$$

$$+\lambda_i\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q,r+1,s}\delta^{(kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_k}\right\}+$$

$$+\delta^{ki}\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\vartheta_{0,q,r,s}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+H^{*0}\right\}+$$

$$+2\lambda_j\left\{\sum_{q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{\mu^{s+1}}{(s+1)!}\vartheta_{1,q+1,r,s}\delta^{(ijkh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k}{\partial\mu_{ij}}\right\}=0\,.$$

Let us consider the part of the right hand side of this expression which doesn' t involve H^{*0}, nor $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k$ and let us take its derivatives with respect to $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, λ_{j_1}, $\cdots$, λ_{j_R} calculated at equilibrium; it is equal to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\Big[2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}j_1\cdots j_Rk)}\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s}+2\lambda\vartheta_{0,Q+1,R,s}\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}+$$

$$+R\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_R}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qk)}\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s}+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s}\Big]+$$

$$+\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{s+1}}{(s+1)!}2R\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R-1,s}\,.$$

Now the first, third and fourth term of this expression can be put together so that it becomes

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^s}{s!}\Big[(2Q+R+1)\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_Rk})}\vartheta_{0,Q,R,s}+2\lambda\vartheta_{0,Q+1,R,s}\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\Big]+$$

$$+\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{\mu^{s+1}}{(s+1)!}2R\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R-1,s}\,.$$

But the polynomial terms in μ with degree greater than zero elide one another, thanks to eq. $(64)_1$; the remaining part is zero, thanks to eq. (56). Consequently, from (84) there remains

$$2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{kj}}+2\lambda\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+\lambda_i\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\lambda_k}+\delta^{ki}H^{*0}+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k}{\partial\mu_{ij}}=0\,.\tag{85}$$

So the situation is now that eqs. (41) are equivalent to (80) (which gives $\tilde{\tilde{H}}$ in terms of $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$ and of $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^0(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$) and to the conditions (83) and (85) on $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k$, while $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^0$ remains arbitrary, as it was obvious because in (41) it appears only trough its derivatives with respect to μ and μ_k which are zero. We note also that eq. (69) is a particular case of (85) , when this last one is calculated in $\lambda_j=0$.

Is there some integrability conditions on (83) and (85) to determine $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k$? Well, in the derivative of (85) with respect to λ we can substitute $\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^ik}{\partial\lambda}$ from (83); but we obtain an integrability condition which have been already taken into account and that is (78).

Another type of integrability condition can be obtained in the following way: Let us take the

derivative of (85) with respect to μ_{ab}, let us contract the result with λ_b and let us take from the resulting equation the skew-symmetric part wit respect to i and a. In this way we obtain

$$0 = 2\mu_{j[i}\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\mu_{kj}}\lambda_b + 2\lambda\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{k[i}\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b + \lambda_{[i}\frac{\partial^2 H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\lambda_k}\lambda_b + \delta^{k[i}\frac{\partial H^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b\,. \tag{86}$$

To conclude this section, we can say that we have to impose the conditions (66), (69), (74), (78) and (86) on $H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$.
After that, (83) and (85) will give $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i$; we will see that a further little integrability condition will be necessary to this end.

4 Solution of the conditions on H^{*0}.

To solve (66), it is useful to define $\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}$ and $\tilde{H}^{*0}$ from

$$\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}\,, \tag{87}$$

$$H^{*0} = \tilde{H}^{*0} + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+1}k_{q+1}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,. \tag{88}$$

By using them, we see that (66) becomes $\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*0}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda} = 0$, that is, $\tilde{H}^{*0}$ is sum of a function not depending on λ and of a function not depending on μ_{ij}, that is

$$\tilde{H}^{*0} = \tilde{H}^{*01}(\lambda,\lambda_j) + \tilde{H}^{*02}(\mu_{ij},\lambda_k) = \sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,0,r,0}(\lambda)\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tilde{H}^{*02}(\mu_{ij},\lambda_k)\,,$$

where we have introduced the expansion of $\tilde{H}^{*01}$ around equilibrium and called $\psi_{0,0,r,0}$ the coefficients. We may also assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\tilde{H}^{*02}(0,\lambda_k) = 0\,, \tag{89}$$

because its eventual non zero value can be enclosed in $\tilde{H}^{*01}$. By substituting the result in (88) this is transformed in

$$H^{*0} = \tilde{H}^{*02}(\mu_{ij},\lambda_k) + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q,r,0}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,. \tag{90}$$

This is the solution of (66). By substituting it in (69), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \left[(2Q+1)\psi_{0,Q,0,0}(\lambda) + 2\lambda\psi_{0,Q+1,0,0}(\lambda)\right]\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)} + \\ & \left\{\frac{\partial^Q}{\partial\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_Qk_Q}}\left[2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{kj}} + 2\lambda\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} + \tilde{H}^{*02}\delta^{ki}\right]\right\}_{\lambda_j=0,\,\mu_{ia}=0}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

The derivative of this relation with respect to λ gives the information

$$(2Q+1)\psi_{0,Q,0,0}(\lambda) + 2\lambda\psi_{0,Q+1,0,0}(\lambda) = \phi_{0,Q+1,0,0}\,, \tag{92}$$

with $\phi_{0,Q+1,0,0}$ constant. After that, (91) becomes

$$0 = \left\{ \frac{\partial^Q}{\partial\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\partial\mu_{h_Qk_Q}} \left[2\mu_{ji}\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{kj}} + 2\lambda\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} + \tilde{H}^{*02}\delta^{ki} \right] \right\}_{\lambda_j=0,\,\mu_{ia}=0} + \\ + \phi_{0,Q+1,0,0}\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)} \,. \tag{93}$$

Let us consider now (74); thanks to (90) it is transformed in

$$0 = 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2\,\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}} + \sum_{Q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{Q!}\frac{1}{R!}\left[2R\psi_{0,Q+2,R-1,0} + (2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{1,Q,R,0} + \right. \\ \left. + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R,0}\right]\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_Qk_Q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_R}\,,$$

which is equivalent to

$$2R\psi_{0,Q+2,R-1,0} + (2Q+R+2)\vartheta_{1,Q,R,0} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,Q+1,R,0} = \phi_{1,Q,R,0} \quad \text{for} \quad R \geq 1 \tag{94}$$

and to

$$0 = 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2\,\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}} + \sum_{Q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{Q!}\frac{1}{R!}\phi_{1,Q,R,0}\delta^{(akih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_Qk_Q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_R}\,, \tag{95}$$

with $\phi_{1,Q,R,0}$ constant.
We want now to see how (78) is transformed by use of (90); to this end, let us firstly substitute (90) except for the term $\tilde{H}^{*02}$. Of the resulting expression, let us take the derivatives with respect to $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, λ_{j_1}, $\cdots$, λ_{j_R} calculated at equilibrium; it is equal to

$$2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}j_1\cdots j_Rk)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,Q,R,0} + \\ + \left(2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,Q+1,R,0} + 2\psi_{0,Q+1,R,0}\right)\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)} + \\ + R\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_R}h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qk)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,Q,R,0} + \delta^{ki}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,Q,R,0} + \\ + 2R\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\psi_{0,Q+1,R,0} = \\ = (2Q+R+1)\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_Rk})}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,Q,R,0} + \\ + \left(2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,Q+1,R,0} + 2\psi_{0,Q+1,R,0} + 2R\psi_{0,Q+1,R,0}\right)\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\,.$$

By using this result, we see that (78) is transformed in

$$0 = 2\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} + 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k} + \\ + \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\left[(2q+r+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q,r,0} + 2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q+1,r,0} + 2(r+1)\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}\right]\cdot \\ \delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2q+r+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q,r,0}+2\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}+2(r+1)\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}=\phi'_{0,q+1,r,0} \tag{96}$$

and to

$$0=2\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}+2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k}+\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\phi'_{0,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,. \tag{97}$$

with $\phi'_{0,q+1,r,0}$ constant.
Finally, let us substitute (90) in (86), so obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}0=&\,2\mu_{j[i}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\mu_{kj}}\lambda_b+2\lambda\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{k[i}\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b+\lambda_{[i}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\lambda_k}\lambda_b+\delta^{k[i}\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b+\\
&+2\mu_{j[i}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+2,r,0}\delta^{(a]bkjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\lambda_b+\\
&+\lambda_{[i}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+1,r+1,0}\delta^{(a]bkh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\lambda_b+\\
&+\delta^{k[i}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(a]bh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\lambda_b\,.\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

Of the expression in the right hand side, let us consider the part not involving $\tilde{H}^{*02}$ and without skew-symmetrization; its part linear in λ_j is

$$\begin{aligned}&2\mu_{ji}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\psi_{0,q+2,0,0}\delta^{(abkjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_b+\\
&+\delta^{ki}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\psi_{0,q+1,0,0}\delta^{(abh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_b\end{aligned}$$

whose derivatives with respect to $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, λ_b calculated at equilibrium is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}akb)}\psi_{0,Q+1,0,0}+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(abh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}\psi_{0,Q+1,0,0}=\\
&=(2Q+3)\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Qakb})}\psi_{0,Q+1,0,0}-\psi_{0,Q+1,0,0}\left[\delta^{ia}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qkb)}+\delta^{ib}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qka)}\right].\end{aligned}$$

So we can write this linear part in λ_j as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\psi_{0,q+1,0,0}\left[(2q+3)\delta^{(ih_1k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_qk_qakb)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qkb)}-\delta^{ib}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qka)}\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_b\end{aligned}$$

whose skew-symmetric part with respect to i and a is

$$-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\psi_{0,q+1,0,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\,. \tag{99}$$

This is the linear part in λ_j of the expression (98) without the terms in $\tilde{H}^{*02}$. In order to consider the terms with degree in λ_j greater than 1, let us change index in the summations of the parts with $\mu_{j[1}\cdots$ and $\delta^{k[i}\cdots$; the change of index is $r = R+1$. In this wy we obtain the skew-symmetric part with respect to i and a of

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\mu_{ji}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,q+2,R+1,0}\delta^{(akjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+2}}+ \qquad (100)\\
&+\delta^{ij_{R+2}}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{R!}\psi_{0,q+1,R+1,0}\delta^{(akh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{R+1})}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+2}}+\\
&+\delta^{ki}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,q+1,R+1,0}\delta^{(ah_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+2}}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have put also $b = j_{R+2}$. The derivatives of this expression with respect to $\mu_{h_1k_1}$, $\cdots$, $\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, calculated in $\mu_{ab} = 0$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\Big[2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}akj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}\psi_{0,Q+1,R+1,0}+\\
&\qquad+(R+1)\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_{R+2}}akh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}\psi_{0,Q+1,R+1,0}+\\
&\qquad+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(ah_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}\psi_{0,Q+1,R+1,0}\Big]\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+2}}=\\
&=\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,Q+1,R+1,0}\Big[(2Q+R+4)\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Qakj_1\cdots j_{R+2}})}+\\
&\qquad-\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_{R+2}}akh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Q)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(kh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}\Big]\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+2}}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (100) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,q+1,R+1,0}\Big[(2q+R+4)\delta^{(ih_1k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_qk_qakj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}+\\
&-\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_{R+2}}akh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{R+2})}\Big]\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+2}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}
\end{aligned}
$$

whose skew-symmetric part with respect to i and a is

$$
-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,q+1,R+1,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{R+1})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\,.
$$

This result, jointly with (99), allows to rewrite (98) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=2\mu_{j[i}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\mu_{kj}}\lambda_b+2\lambda\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{k[i}\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b+\lambda_{[i}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\lambda_k}\lambda_b+\delta^{k[i}\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b+\\
-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q!}\psi_{0,q+1,0,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}+\\
-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,q+1,R+1,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{R+1})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+1}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

But the last 2 terms of this expression can be written in a more compact form, so that the whole expression becomes

$$0 = 2\mu_{j[i}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\mu_{kj}}\lambda_b + 2\lambda\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{k[i}\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b + \lambda_{[i}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}\partial\lambda_k}\lambda_b + \delta^{k[i}\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*02}}{\partial\mu_{a]b}}\lambda_b + \tag{101}$$
$$-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{R\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{R!}\psi_{0,q+1,R,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_R)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_R}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\,.$$

whose derivative with respect to λ gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q+1,R,0} = 0\,. \tag{102}$$

So there remains now to impose the conditions (93), (95), (97) and (101) on $\tilde{H}^{*02}(\mu_{ab},\lambda_c)$.

4.1 The conditions on $\tilde{H}^{*02}$.

- Let us begin with (95).

Let us define $\tilde{H}^{*03}$ from

$$\tilde{H}^{*02} = \tilde{H}^{*03} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+2)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+2}k_{q+2}j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+2}k_{q+2}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} \tag{103}$$

After that, eq. (95) becomes

$$0 = 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2\,\tilde{H}^{*03}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\mu_{ka}}\,. \tag{104}$$

- Instead of this, (97) (by writing explicitly the term with $q=0$ and changing index in the remaining part according to $q = Q+1$) becomes

$$0 = 2\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*03}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} + 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial^2\tilde{H}^{*03}}{\partial\mu_{ij}\partial\lambda_k} + \sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{r!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(kij_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{105}$$
$$-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\left(\phi_{1,q,r+1,0} + r\phi_{1,q,r,0} - (r+1)\phi'_{0,q+2,r,0}\right)\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}j_1\cdots j_r)}$$
$$\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+1}k_{q+1}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.$$

Now, if we contract this expression with λ_i, we will obtain another expression whose first 2 terms don' t depend on μ_{ab} for (104), the third term explicitly doesn' t depend on μ_{ab}, the last one will be at least linear in μ_{ab}, except if the coefficients are zero. In other words, we have

$$\phi_{1,q,r+1,0} + r\phi_{1,q,r,0} - (r+1)\phi'_{0,q+2,r,0} = 0\,. \tag{106}$$

After this result, what remains of (105) shows that $\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*03}}{\partial\mu_{ki}}$ depends only on λ_j; consequently,

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{H}^{*03}}{\partial\mu_{ki}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(kij_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}$$

is a second order symmetric tensor depending only on λ_j. By applying the Representation Theorems, we can say that it is a linear combination, trough scalar coefficients, of δ^{ij} and of $\lambda^i\lambda^j$; writing the expansion of the coefficients around $\lambda_j = 0$, we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{H}^{*03}}{\partial \mu_{ki}} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(kij_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r(\alpha_r\delta^{ik} + \beta_r\lambda^i\lambda^k)\,, \qquad (107)$$

with α_r and β_r constants. By using this, (105) becomes

$$\alpha_0 = 0 \quad , \quad \alpha_{r+1} = -(r+1)\beta_r \qquad (108)$$

and (104) is an identity.
Now, from (89) and (103) it follows $\tilde{H}^{*03}(0,\lambda_k) = 0$. This result, jointly with (107) allows us to obtain

$$\tilde{H}^{*03} = \mu_{ki}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(kij_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r(\lambda^i\lambda^k - \lambda_a\lambda^a\delta^{ik})\right]\,, \qquad (109)$$

so that (103) now becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}^{*02} = \mu_{ki}&\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(kij_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r(\lambda^i\lambda^k - \lambda_a\lambda^a\delta^{ik})\right] + \qquad (110)\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+2)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+2}k_{q+2}j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+2}k_{q+2}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\,.\end{aligned}$$

- Let us substitute this expression in (93). For $Q = 0$, $Q = 1$ and $Q \geq 2$ we obtain, respectively

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_{0,1,0,0} - \lambda\phi'_{0,1,0,0} = 0\,, \qquad (111)\\ &\phi_{0,2,0,0} - \lambda\phi_{1,0,1,0} - \frac{3}{2}\phi'_{0,1,0,0} = 0\,,\\ &\phi_{0,Q+1,0,0} - \lambda\phi_{1,Q-1,1,0} - \frac{1}{2}(2Q+1)\phi_{1,Q-2,1,0} = 0\,.\end{aligned}$$

But the quantities $\phi_{\ldots}$, $\phi'_{\ldots}$ don' t depend on λ so that (111) now becomes

$$\phi_{1,Q,1,0} = 0 \quad \text{for}\, Q \geq 0 \quad , \quad \phi'_{0,1,0,0} = 0 \quad , \quad \phi_{0,Q,0,0} = 0 \quad \text{for}\, Q \geq 1\,. \qquad (112)$$

- Let us substitute now (110) in (101). We find

$$0 = 2\mu_{j[i}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(a]bkjh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\right) + \qquad (113)$$

$$+\lambda_{[i}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{r}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(a]bkj_1\cdots j_{r-1})}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r-1}}+\right.$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{r}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(a]bkh_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}j_1\cdots j_{r-1})}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+1}k_{q+1}}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r-1}}+$$

$$\left.+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^r\beta_r\left(\delta^{a]k}\lambda^b\lambda_b-\lambda^{a]}\lambda^k\right)\right]+$$

$$+\delta^{k[i}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(a]bj_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\delta^{(a]bh_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+1}k_{q+1}}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\right)+$$

$$-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+1,r,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\,.$$

But now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r\lambda_i\delta^{(abkj_1\cdots j_{r-1})}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r-1}}+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(abj_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}&=\\
=\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}[r\delta^{j_ri}\delta^{(abkj_1\cdots j_{r-1})}+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(abj_1\cdots j_r)}]&=\\
=\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}[r\delta^{i\overline{j_r}}\delta^{(\overline{bj_1\cdots j_{r-1}}ka)}+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(abj_1\cdots j_r)}+\delta^{ia}\delta^{(kbj_1\cdots j_r)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(kbj_1\cdots j_r)}]&=\\
=\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}[(r+3)\delta^{i\overline{j_r}}\delta^{(\overline{bj_1\cdots j_{r-1}}ka)}-\delta^{i\overline{j_r}}\delta^{(\overline{bj_1\cdots j_{r-1}}ka)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(kbj_1\cdots j_r)}]&=\\
=\lambda_b\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}[(r+3)\delta^{(ikabj_1\cdots j_r)}-\delta^{i\overline{j_r}}\delta^{(\overline{bj_1\cdots j_{r-1}}ka)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(kbj_1\cdots j_r)}]\,.&
\end{aligned}$$

By using this identity in (113) calculated in $\mu_{ab}=0$, we find

$$0=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi'_{0,1,r,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kj_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^{r+1}\beta_r\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}-\psi_{0,1,0,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}+$$

$$-\sum_{R\in I_1}\frac{1}{(R+1)!}\psi_{0,1,R+1,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kj_1\cdots j_{R+1})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{R+1}}\,.$$

This relation, by changing index in the last term according to $R=r-1$, transforms itself in

$$0=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}[\phi'_{0,1,r,0}-2(r+1)\psi_{0,1,r,0}]\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kj_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+ \tag{114}$$

$$+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^{r+1}\beta_r\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}-\psi_{0,1,0,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}\,.$$

The linear part in λ^j of this equation gives

$$\phi'_{0,1,0,0}=2\psi_{0,1,0,0}\,. \tag{115}$$

For the remaining part, we use the identity

$$\delta^{(akj_1\cdots j_r)}=\delta^{a(kj_1\cdots j_r)}=\frac{1}{r+1}(\delta^{ak}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_r)}+r\delta^{a(j_1\cdots j_r)k}$$

from which it follows

$$\delta^{(akj_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}=\frac{1}{r+1}\left[\delta^{ak}(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^{\frac{r}{2}}+r\lambda^a\lambda^k(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^{\frac{r-2}{2}}\right].$$

By using this identity, eq. (114) becomes

$$0=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}[\phi'_{0,1,r,0}-2(r+1)\psi_{0,1,r,0}]\frac{1}{r+1}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^{\frac{r}{2}}+\sum_{R=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{R!}(\lambda^c\lambda_c)^{R+1}\beta_R\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}\,.$$

or, equivalently,

$$\phi'_{0,1,2R+2,0}=2(2R+3)\psi_{0,1,2R+2,0}-\frac{(2R+3)!}{R!}2(2R+3)\beta_R\,. \tag{116}$$

After this result, what remains in the right hand side of eq. (113), without skew-symmetrization and by putting $b=j_{r+1}$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\left[2(q+1)\delta^{i\overline{h_{q+1}}}\delta^{(\overline{k_{q+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_q}j_1\cdots j_{r+1}ak)}+\right.\\
&\left.+\,r\delta^{i\overline{j_{r+1}}}\delta^{(\overline{j_1\cdots j_r}h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}ak)}+\delta^{ik}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}a)}\right]\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+1}k_{q+1}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}+\\
&-\sum_{Q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(Q+1)!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,Q+2,r,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_{Q+1}k_{Q+1}j_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{Q+1}k_{Q+1}}=\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}\left[(2q+r+5))\delta^{i\overline{h_{q+1}}}\delta^{(\overline{k_{q+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_{r+1}ak})}+\right.\\
&\left.-\,\delta^{i\overline{j_{r+1}}}\delta^{(\overline{j_1\cdots j_r}h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}ak)}-\delta^{ia}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+1}h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+1}k_{q+1}k)}\right]\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+1}k_{q+1}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}+\\
&-\sum_{Q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(Q+1)!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,Q+2,r,0}\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_{Q+1}k_{Q+1}j_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{Q+1}k_{Q+1}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Now (113) says that the skew-symmetric part of this expression, with respect to i and a, is zero, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
0=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+1)!}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}&\left[\frac{1}{2}\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}-(r+1)\phi_{0,q+2,r,0}\right]\lambda^{[i}\delta^{(a]kh_1k_1\cdots h_{Q+1}k_{Q+1}j_1\cdots j_r)}\\
&\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{Q+1}k_{Q+1}}\,,
\end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\phi_{1,q,r+1,0}=2(r+1)\phi_{0,q+2,r,0}\,. \tag{117}$$

By using (115), (116) and (117), the expression (110) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}^{*02}=&-\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(ijj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{ij}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
&-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+2)!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+2,r,0}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+2}k_{q+2}j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+2}k_{q+2}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\\
&+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\mu_{ik}(\lambda^i\lambda^k-\lambda_b\lambda^b\delta^{ik})+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2r+3)!}{r!}\beta_r\delta^{(ijj_1\cdots j_{2r+2})}\mu_{ij}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{2r+2}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

But $\delta^{(ijj_1\cdots j_{2r+2})} = \delta^{i(jj_1\cdots j_{2r+2})} = \frac{1}{2r+3}\left(\delta^{ij}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{2r+2})} + (2r+2)\delta^{i(j_1\cdots j_{2r+2})j}\right)$ so that the last 2 terms in the above expression are equal to $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\mu_{ik}\lambda^i\lambda^k$. This allows to rewrite the total expression as

$$\tilde{H}^{*02} = -\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,1,r,0}\delta^{(ijj_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{ij}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{118}$$

$$-\sum_{q=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(q+2)!}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,q+2,r,0}\delta^{(h_1k_1\cdots h_{q+2}k_{q+2}j_1\cdots j_r)}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_{q+2}k_{q+2}}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} +$$

$$+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\mu_{ik}\lambda^i\lambda^k\,.$$

Thanks to this result, eq. (90) now becomes

$$H^{*0} = \sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{r!}\psi_{0,0,r,0}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_r)}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\mu_{ik}\lambda^i\lambda^k\,. \tag{119}$$

Let us resume now some of the conditions found on the coefficients.
Eq. (117) says that

$$\phi_{1,q,r+1,0} = 2(r+1)\phi_{0,q+2,r,0}\,. \tag{120}$$

Eqs. (115) and Eqs. (116) give

$$\phi'_{0,1,0,0} = 2\psi_{0,1,0,0} \quad , \quad \phi'_{0,1,2R+2,0} = 2(2R+3)\psi_{0,1,2R+2,0} - \frac{(2R+3)!}{R!}2(2R+3)\beta_R\,. \tag{121}$$

Eq. (112), thanks also to Eq. (120) and Eq. $(121)_1$ says that

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_{0,q+2,0,0} = 0\,;\ \psi_{0,1,0,0} = 0\,(\text{which can be compacted in}\,\psi_{0,q+1,0,0} = 0)\,;\\ &\phi_{0,q+1,0,0} = 0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Eq. (106)

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{for}\quad r=0\quad\text{gives}\quad 2\psi_{0,q+2,0,0} = \phi'_{0,q+2,0,0}\,,\\ &\text{with}\,r+1\,\text{instead of}\,r,\ \text{gives}\quad 2(r+2)\psi_{0,q+2,r+1,0} + 2(r+1)^2\psi_{0,q+2,r,0} - (r+1)\phi'_{0,q+2,r+1,0} = 0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

Eq. (102) is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,q+1,R,0} = 0\,. \tag{124}$$

Eq. (94) with $R = r+1$ and with aid by (120), is

$$(2q+r+3)\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,0} + 2\lambda\vartheta_{1,q+1,r+1,0} = 0\,. \tag{125}$$

Eq. (87), thanks to (124), says that

$$\vartheta_{1,q,r+1,0} = 0\,, \tag{126}$$

which implies (125) as a particular case.
Eq. (92) is a consequence of $(122)_{3,4}$, except for $Q = 0$; in this case it gives

$$\psi_{0,0,0,0} = 0\,. \tag{127}$$

Eq. (96) with $q + 1$ instead of q gives

$$2(r+1)\psi_{0,q+2,r,0} = \phi'_{0,q+2,r,0}\,, \tag{128}$$

where (124) has been used.
Eq. (96) with $q = 0$, thanks to (124) and $(121)_1$ gives

$$(r+1)\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,0,r,0} + 2(r+1)\psi_{0,1,r,0} = \phi'_{0,1,r,0}\,,$$

which for $r = 0$ gives again (127), while written with $2r + 2$ instead of r gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\psi_{0,0,2r+2,0} = -2\frac{(2r+3)!}{r!}\beta_r\,,$$

where we have used $(121)_2$. By integrating the result, we obtain

$$\psi_{0,0,2r+2,0} = -2\lambda\frac{(2r+3)!}{r!}\beta_r + \psi_{0,0,2r+2,0,0}\,, \tag{129}$$

where $\psi_{0,0,2r+2,0,0}$ is a constant arising from integration.
Eq. (119), thanks to (127) and (129) becomes

$$H^{*0} = \sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+2)!}\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+2})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+2}} - \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}2\lambda\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r+1}\beta_r + \\ + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\mu_{ik}\lambda^i\lambda^k\,. \tag{130}$$

It is interesting that this expressions determines H^{*0} in terms of two arbitrary sets of constants $\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}$ and β_r and that it satisfies eq. (58).
The doubt may arise that the other equations on the coefficients $\phi_{\dots}$, $\phi'_{\dots}$, $\psi_{\dots}$, are too much restrictive. The doubt may be eliminate by direct analysis or, equivalently, noting that they appeared only in the present section; only the $\vartheta_{\dots}$ were present also in previous section and here we have found that they are further restricted by (126). This can be rewritten also as

$$\vartheta_{1,q,r,0} = 0\,, \tag{131}$$

because the sum of the first and third index must be an even number, so that obviously we must have $r \geq 1$ in $\vartheta_{1,q,r,0}$.
Well, we may eliminate the above mentioned doubt by verifying directly that H^{*0} given by (130) satisfies, for whatever value of the constants $\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}$ and β_r, the conditions described at the end of the previous section, that is (66), (69), (74), (78) and (86). To this end we may use only the restriction (131) on $\vartheta_{\dots}$, jointly with those found in the previous sections.

4.2 Verifying the conditions on H^{*0}.

- It is to verify equation (66), because H^{*0} given by (130) is sum of a function not depending on λ and of a function not depending on μ_{ij}; moreover the right hand side of eq. (66) is zero, thanks to (131).
- It is to verify equation (69), because H^{*0} given by (130) becomes zero when calculated in $\lambda_i = 0$.
- It is to verify equation (74), thanks to (131) and because H^{*0} given by (130) is linear in $\mu_{ij} = 0$.
- Let us verify equation (78). By a substitution of H^{*0} from (130) it becomes

$$0 = 2\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda^k\lambda^i + \lambda_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[-\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r+1}\right] +$$
$$+\delta^{ki}\left[-\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r+1}\right] + 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda^i\lambda^j\right],$$

which is true because the sum of 1^{th} and 4^{th} term is equal to $\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[2\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda_j\right]$, while the sum of 2^{th} and 3^{th} term is equal to $\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[-\lambda_i\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}2\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r+1}\right]$.

- Let us verify equation (86). By a substitution of H^{*0} from (130) it becomes

$$0 = \lambda_b\lambda^{[i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_c\lambda^c)^r\lambda^{a]}\lambda^b\right] + \lambda_b\delta^{k[i}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_c\lambda^c)^r\lambda^{a]}\lambda^b\right].$$

In the first term, when we don' t take the derivative of λ^a with respect to λ_k, we obtain zero for the identity $\lambda^{[i}\lambda^{a]} = 0$; when we take the derivative of λ^a with respect to λ_k, we obtain $\lambda_b\lambda^{[i}\delta^{a]k}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_c\lambda^c)^r\lambda^b$ which is the opposite of the second term!
This completes our verification.

5 Solution of the conditions on $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^i$.

Let us firstly change unknown function, from $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k$ to $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k = \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k} + \tag{132}$$
$$+\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\left[\lambda\delta^{(kj_1\cdots j_{r+1})} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{r+3}{r+2}\delta^{(kijj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}\mu_{ij}\right]\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}} +$$
$$+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\left(\lambda\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c - \lambda^2\lambda_b\lambda^b\right)\right] +$$
$$-\mu^{kd}\lambda_d(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1} - \frac{1}{4}\lambda^k(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)^2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2} +$$
$$-\lambda^k(\mu_{bd}\mu_{dc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}.$$

By substituting $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k$ from (132) and H^{*0} from (130) in (83) and (85) these equations are transformed respectively in

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*i}=0\,, \tag{133}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0=&\,2\mu_{ji}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda^k\lambda^j\right\}+2\lambda\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda^k\lambda^i\right\}+ \\ &+\lambda_i\left\{\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\delta^{(kj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}+\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\left(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c-2\lambda\lambda_b\lambda^b\right)\right]\right\}+\end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

$$+\delta^{ki}\left[\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+2)!}\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+2})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+2}}+\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\left(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c-2\lambda\lambda_b\lambda^b\right)\right]+$$

$$\begin{aligned}&+2\lambda_j\left\{\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}}{\partial\mu_{ij}}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{r+3}{(r+2)!}\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\delta^{(kijj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}+\right. \\ &+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda\lambda^i\lambda^j\right]+ \\ &-\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\left[\lambda^i\lambda^j\mu^{kd}\lambda_d+(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\delta^{k(i}\lambda^{j)}\right]+ \\ &-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2}\,2(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k+ \\ &\left.-\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\lambda^k(\lambda^i\mu^{jb}\lambda_b+\lambda^j\mu^{ib}\lambda_b)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, in eq. (134), the second and third term containing λ can be written together as
$\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r(-2\lambda)\lambda_i(\lambda^b\lambda_b)\right]$,
while the first and fourth term can be written together as
$2\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left\{\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda\lambda^i\lambda^j\right]\lambda_j\right\}$;
consequently the terms containing λ elide each other. This result could be expected for eq. (133). Furthermore, the coefficient of $\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}$ in the third term containing it, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{r+3}{(r+2)!}\delta^{i(kjj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}\lambda_j\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}&=-\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{r+3}{(r+2)!}\delta^{i(kj_1\cdots j_{r+2})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+2}}= \\ &=-\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+2)!}\left[\delta^{ik}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+2})}+(r+2)\delta^{i(j_1\cdots j_{r+2})k}\right]\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+2}}= \\ &=-\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+2)!}\left[\delta^{ik}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+2})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+2}}+(r+2)\lambda^i\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+1})k}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}\right],\end{aligned}$$

so that it and the other terms in eq. (134) containing $\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}$ elide each other. After that, of eq. (134) there remains

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = {} & 2\mu_{ji}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\lambda^k\lambda^j + \lambda_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\right] + \\
& + \delta^{ki}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}}{\partial\mu_{ij}} + \\
& - \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\left[2(\lambda_c\lambda^c)\lambda^i\mu^{kd}\lambda_d + (\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)(\lambda_d\lambda^d)\delta^{ki} + (\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\lambda^k\lambda^i\right] + \\
& - \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)(\lambda_d\lambda^d)\lambda^i\lambda^k + \\
& - 2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\lambda^k\left[\lambda^i(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + (\lambda_d\lambda^d)\mu^{ib}\lambda_b)\right] ,
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = {} & 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}}{\partial\mu_{ij}} + \\
& + \delta^{ki}\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) - \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\right] + \\
& + \lambda^k\mu^{ij}\lambda_j\left[2\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r - 2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\right] + \\
& + \lambda^i\mu^{kj}\lambda_j\left[2\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r - 2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\right] + \\
& + \lambda^i\lambda^k\left[2\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}r\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) - \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) - 2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But in this expression, all the terms with $r \geq 2$ elide each other, so that there remain only the terms with $r = 0, 1$, that is

$$
0 = 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}}{\partial\mu_{ij}} + \left[\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 4\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)j}\lambda_j\right](3\beta_0 + 5\beta_1\lambda_a\lambda^a) + 10\lambda^i\lambda^k(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\beta_1 . \tag{135}
$$

A further refinement of the situation can be obtained with another change of unknown function, from $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}$ to $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}$ defined by

$$
\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k} = \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k} - \frac{5}{4}\beta_1\left\{4(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\mu^{kd}\lambda_d + \lambda^k\left[(\mu_{bc}\mu^{bc})(\lambda_a\lambda^a) + 2\lambda^a\lambda^b\mu_{ac}\mu_{cb}\right]\right\} . \tag{136}
$$

By using this, eq. (135) becomes

$$
0 = 2\lambda_j\frac{\partial\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial\mu_{ij}} + 3\beta_0\left[\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 4\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)j}\lambda_j\right] . \tag{137}
$$

We can now prove that, as a consequence of this equation, we have

$$\beta_0 = 0\,. \tag{138}$$

5.1 Solution of the conditions on $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}$.

Let us consider the Taylor expansion of $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}$ around the state with $\mu^{ij}=0$; eq. (137) at the order 1 with respect to this state is

$$0 = 2\lambda_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}_2^{**k}}{\partial \mu_{ij}} + 3\beta_0 \left[\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 4\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)j}\lambda_j\right]\,, \tag{139}$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{H}}_2^{**k}$ is the homogeneous part of $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}$ of second degree with respect to μ^{ij}. Thanks to the Representation Theorems, it has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tilde{H}}_2^{**k} &= f_1(G_0)\mu^{ka}\mu^{ab}\lambda_b + f_2(G_0)\mu^{ll}\mu^{ka}\lambda_a + f_3(G_0)(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\mu^{ka}\lambda_a + \\ &+\lambda^k\left[f_4(G_0)(\mu^{ll})^2 + f_5(G_0)(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)^2 + f_6(G_0)(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\mu^{ll} + f_7(G_0)\mu_{bc}\mu^{bc} + f_8(G_0)\mu_{ba}\mu_{ac}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\right]\,,\end{aligned}$$

where $G_0 = \lambda_a\lambda^a$. By substituting this in (139), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 = 2\lambda_j \Big\{ & f_1\delta^{k(i}\mu^{j)b}\lambda_b + f_1\mu^{k(i}\lambda^{j)} + f_2\delta^{ij}\mu^{kb}\lambda_b + f_2\mu^{ll}\delta^{k(i}\lambda^{j)} + f_3\lambda^i\lambda^j\mu^{kb}\lambda_b + f_3(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\delta^{k(i}\lambda^{j)} + \\ & +\lambda^k\left[2f_4\mu^{ll}\delta^{ij} + 2f_5(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\lambda^i\lambda^j + f_6\lambda^i\lambda^j\mu^{ll} + f_6(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\delta^{ij} + 2f_7\mu^{ij} + 2f_8\lambda^{(i}\mu^{j)b}\lambda_b\right]\Big\} + \\ & +3\beta_0\left[\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 4\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)j}\lambda_j\right]\,,\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}0 = {} & f_1\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 2f_1\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)b}\lambda_b + f_1\mu^{ki}G_0 + 2f_2\lambda^i\mu^{kb}\lambda_b + f_2\mu^{ll}\delta^{ki}G_0 + f_2\mu^{ll}\lambda^k\lambda^i + \\ & +2f_3G_0\lambda^i\mu^{kb}\lambda_b + f_3(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\delta^{ki}G_0 + f_3(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\lambda^k\lambda^i + \\ & +\lambda^k\left[4f_4\mu^{ll}\lambda^i + 4f_5(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)G_0\lambda^i + 2f_6G_0\lambda^i\mu^{ll} + 2f_6(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\lambda^i + 4f_7\mu^{ij}\lambda_j + 2f_8\lambda^i(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + \right. \\ & \left. + 2f_8G_0\mu^{ib}\lambda_b\right] + 3\beta_0\left[\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) + 4\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)j}\lambda_j\right]\,.\end{aligned}$$

The skew-symmetric part of this relation, with respect to i and k is

$$0 = \lambda^{[k}\mu^{i]b}\lambda_b(-2f_2 - 2f_3G_0 + 4f_7 + 2f_8G_0)\,,$$

from which we obtain

$$4f_7 = 2f_2 + 2f_3G_0 - 2f_8G_0\,. \tag{140}$$

By taking into account this value of f_7, the remaining part of our condition becomes

$$\begin{aligned}0 = {} & \delta^{ki}\left[f_2\mu^{ll}G_0 + (f_1 + f_3G_0 + 3\beta_0)(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\right] + f_1\mu^{ki}G_0 + \\ & +\lambda^{(k}\mu^{i)b}\lambda_b(2f_1 + 4f_2 + 4f_3G_0 + 12\beta_0) + \\ & +\lambda^k\lambda^i\left[\mu^{ll}(f_2 + 4f_4 + 2f_6G_0) + (\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)(f_3 + 4f_5G_0 + 2f_6 + 2f_8)\right]\,.\end{aligned}$$

In this relation, the coefficients of μ^{ki} and $\delta^{ki}\mu^{ll}$ give respectively $f_1 = 0$ and $f_2 = 0$. After that, the coefficient of $\delta^{ki}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)$ gives $f_3 G_0 + 3\beta_0 = 0$, which calculated in $\lambda_j = 0$ gives the above mentioned eq. (138).
This result transforms eq. (137) into

$$0 = 2\lambda_j \frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \mu_{ij}} \,. \tag{141}$$

Now we proceed to find the general solution of this last equation and we prove that it is

$$\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k} = \lambda^k F(G_0\,,\, G_1\,,\, G_2)\,, \tag{142}$$

where

$$G_1 = G_0\delta^{bc}\mu_{bc} - \mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c\,, \quad G_2 = G_0\mu^{bc}\mu_{bc} - 2\mu_{bc}\mu_{ca}\lambda^b\lambda^a + 2(\delta^{bc}\mu_{bc})(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c) - G_0(\delta^{bc}\mu_{bc})^2$$

and F is an arbitrary function of its variables.
In fact, if $\lambda_j = 0$, from the Representation Theorems we know that $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k} = 0$, just as in (142) and (141) is an identity.
If $\lambda_j \neq 0$, we can define the projector into the subspace orthogonal to λ_j, that is

$$h^{ij} = \delta^{ij} - \frac{1}{G_0}\lambda^i\lambda^j\,, \tag{143}$$

from which it follows $h^{ij}\lambda_j = 0$, as obvious. By taking as independent variables
λ^i, $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c$, $\tilde{\mu}^i = h^{ij}\mu_{ja}\lambda^a$, $\tilde{\mu}^{ij} = h^{ia}\mu_{ab}h^{bj}$,
eq. (141) becomes

$$0 = 2\lambda_j\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}}\lambda^i\lambda^j + \frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}^b}h^{b(j}\lambda^{i)} + \frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}^{ab}}h^{a(i}h^{j)b}\right) =$$

$$= 2G_0\frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}}\lambda^i + \frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}^b}G_0 h^{bi}\,.$$

By contracting this relation with λ_i and with h_{ia}, we obtain respectively

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}}{\partial \tilde{\mu}^a} = 0\,. \tag{144}$$

It follows that $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}$ may depend only on λ^i and $\tilde{\mu}^{ij}$. But $\tilde{\mu}^{ij}\lambda_j = 0$ so that, for the Representation Theorems we have that $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{**k}$ is proportional to λ^k as in eq. (142); moreover, the coefficient F can be a scalar function of G_0, $Q_1 = \delta_{ij}\tilde{\mu}^{ij}$, $Q_2 = \delta_{ij}\tilde{\mu}^{ia}\tilde{\mu}^{aj}$.
Now we have

$$Q_1 = \delta_{ij}\tilde{\mu}^{ij} = h_{ij}\mu^{ij} = \delta_{ij}\mu^{ij} - \frac{1}{G_0}\lambda_i\lambda_j\mu^{ij}\,,$$

$$Q_2 = \delta_{ij}h^{ib}\mu_{bc}h^{ca}h^{ad}\mu_{de}h^{ej} = h_{be}\mu^{bc}h_{cd}\mu^{de} = \delta_{be}\mu^{ec}\delta_{cd}\mu^{de} - \frac{2}{G_0}\delta_{be}\mu^{bc}\lambda_c\lambda_d\,\mu^{de} + \left(\frac{1}{G_0}\right)^2\left(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c\right)^2\,.$$

But an arbitrary function of G_0, Q_1, Q_2 is also an arbitrary function of G_0, Q_1 and of

$$Q_2 - (Q_1)^2 = \mu^{ec}\mu_{ec} - \frac{2}{G_0}\mu^{de}\mu^{ec}\lambda_d\lambda_c + \frac{2}{G_0}(\delta_{ij}\mu^{ij})(\mu_{ab}\lambda^a\lambda^b) - (\delta_{ij}\mu^{ij})^2$$

and an arbitrary function of G_0, Q_1, $Q_2-(Q_1)^2$ is also an arbitrary function of G_0, Q_1G_0, $[Q_2-(Q_1)^2]G_0$ and this completes the proof of eq. $(142)_{2,3}$. These last passages have been done with the end to have a function defined also in $\lambda^j=0$, without going too much far from equilibrium.

6 Conclusions.

We can collect now all our results. By substituting $\tilde{\tilde{H}}_2^{**k}$ from (142) into (136) we obtain $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{*k}$; by substituting this and (138) into (132), we obtain

$$\tilde{\tilde{H}}^k=\lambda^k F(G_0\,,\,G_1\,,\,G_2)-\frac{5}{4}\beta_1\left\{4(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\mu^{kd}\lambda_d+\lambda^k\left[(\mu_{bc}\mu^{bc})(\lambda_a\lambda^a)+2\lambda^a\lambda^b\mu_{ac}\mu_{cb}\right]\right\}+ \quad (145)$$

$$+\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\left[\lambda\delta^{(kj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{r+3}{r+2}\delta^{(kijj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}\mu_{ij}\right]\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}+$$

$$+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_k}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\left(\lambda\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c-\lambda^2\lambda_b\lambda^b\right)\right]+$$

$$-\mu^{kd}\lambda_d(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}-\frac{1}{4}\lambda^k(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)^2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2}+$$

$$-\lambda^k(\mu_{bd}\mu_{dc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\,.$$

Thanks to this expression and of (130), taking also into account (138), we can rewrite the expression for $\tilde{\tilde{H}}$ in (80); finally, we can substitute this new expression and that of (130) for H^{*0} in (79). In this way we obtain

$$\Delta H=\sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{(s+1)!}\vartheta_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)\mu^{s+1}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)} \quad (146)$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+$$

$$+\mu\left\{\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+2)!}\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\delta^{(j_1\cdots j_{r+2})}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+2}}-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}2\lambda\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r+1}\beta_r+\right.$$

$$\left.+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\mu_{ik}\lambda^i\lambda^k\right\}+$$

$$+\sum_{p,q}^{0\cdots\infty}\sum_{r\in I_p}\frac{1}{(p+2)!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\vartheta_{p,q+1,r,0}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_{p+2}h_1k_1\cdots h_qk_qj_1\cdots j_r)}$$

$$\mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_{p+2}}\mu_{h_1k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_qk_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r}+\frac{1}{2}\mu_i\mu_j\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\lambda^i\lambda^j+$$

$$+\mu_i\left\{\lambda^i F(G_0\,,\,G_1\,,\,G_2)-\frac{5}{4}\beta_1\left[4(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\mu^{id}\lambda_d+\lambda^i\left((\mu_{bc}\mu^{bc})(\lambda_a\lambda^a)+2\lambda^a\lambda^b\mu_{ac}\mu_{cb}\right)\right]+\right.$$

$$+\sum_{r\in I_0}\frac{1}{(r+1)!}\left[\lambda\delta^{(ij_1\cdots j_{r+1})}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{r+3}{r+2}\delta^{(ikjj_1\cdots j_{r+1})}\mu_{kj}\right]\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_{r+1}}+$$
$$+\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_i}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\left(\lambda\ \mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c-\lambda^2\lambda_b\lambda^b\right)\right]+$$
$$-\mu^{id}\lambda_d(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}-\frac{1}{4}\lambda^i(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)^2\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2}+$$
$$-\lambda^i(\mu_{bd}\mu_{dc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\Bigg\}+\tilde{\tilde{H}}^0(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,.$$

We recall that in this expression, $F(G_0\,,\,G_1\,,\,G_2)$ is an arbitrary function, $\psi_{0,0,r,0,0}$ and β_r are arbitrary constants, while $\vartheta_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)$ are constrained by (61), (62), (64), (131), (56) and (57). The presence of the arbitrary function $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^0(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$ is obvious because it is not constrained by (41) because it doesn' t depend on μ, nor on μ_k. Consequently, it is not necessary to impose the condition $\tilde{\tilde{H}}^0(0_{ab},\lambda,0_c)=0$ which comes out from eqs. (42), (57) and (146).
The sum of the expression (146) for ΔH and of the expression (38) for H_1 give the general solution for the unknown function H. Let us substitute it in the equations

$$F^{kij}=\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial\mu_k\partial\mu_{ij}}\quad,\quad G^{ki}=\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial\mu_k\partial\lambda_i}\tag{147}$$

which are a subset of the equations (6). We obtain that F^{kij} is sum of a symmetric tensor and of

$$\Delta F^{kij}=\lambda^k\frac{\partial F(G_0\,,\,G_1\,,\,G_2)}{\partial\mu_{ij}}-\frac{5}{4}\beta_1\left[4(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\delta^{k(i}\lambda^{j)}+\lambda^k\left(2\mu^{ij}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)-4\lambda^{(i}\mu^{j)b}\lambda_b\right)\right]+\tag{148}$$
$$+2\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\,\lambda\,\delta^{k(i}\lambda^{j)}-\delta^{k(i}\lambda^{j)}(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\,.$$

Similarly, G^{ki} is sum of a symmetric tensor and of

$$\Delta G^{ki}=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^r\beta_r\lambda^k\mu^i+\lambda^k\frac{\partial F}{\partial G_1}\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial\lambda_i}+\lambda^k\frac{\partial F}{\partial G_2}\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial\lambda_i}-5\beta_1\lambda^k\mu^{ic}\mu^{cb}\lambda_b+\tag{149}$$
$$-2\lambda^i\mu^{kd}\lambda_d(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}(r-1)\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2}+$$
$$-\lambda^k\mu^{id}\lambda_d(\mu_{bc}\lambda^b\lambda^c)\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}(2r-3)\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-2}-2\lambda^k\mu^{id}\mu^{dc}\lambda_c\sum_{r=2}^{\infty}\frac{2r+3}{r!}\beta_r(\lambda_a\lambda^a)^{r-1}\,.$$

But, from $(142)_{2,3}$ we see that $\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial\mu_{ij}}$ is a tensor at least of second order with respect to equilibrium and $\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial\mu_{ij}}$ is a tensor at least of third order with respect to equilibrium. Consequently, from (148) it is clear that F^{kij} up to second order with respect to equilibrium is a symmetric tensor; its eventual non symmetric parts may appear only from the third order with respect to equilibrium. This result is different from its counterpart in [1] where a non symmetric part appeared also at first order with

respect to equilibrium. We shall see in Appendix 2 that from the equations of that paper it follows that this non symmetric part is proportional to a constant; consequently, here we have proved that this constant is zero and that this further constraint follows by imposing the equations up to order higher than one with respect to equilibrium. This is not a problem, because the authors of paper [1] assumed (for example in the first 3 lines of subsection 7.2) that the integration constants vanish and furnished reasons for this assumption based on the kinetic theory approach.
Similarly, from $(142)_{2,3}$ we see that $\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \lambda_i}$ is a tensor of second order with respect to equilibrium and $\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \lambda_i}$ is a tensor at least of third order with respect to equilibrium. Consequently, from (149) it is clear that G^{ki} up to second order with respect to equilibrium is a symmetric tensor; its eventual non symmetric parts may appear only from the third order with respect to equilibrium. This result agrees with its counterpart in [1].
A last question that can be considered is the following: It is possible to write (146) in a form close to (55), that is

$$\Delta H = \sum_{p,q,s}^{0\cdots\infty} \sum_{r\in I_p} \frac{1}{p!}\frac{1}{q!}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{s!}\chi_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)\mu^s \delta^{(i_1\cdots i_p h_1 k_1 \cdots h_q k_q j_1 \cdots j_r)} \mu_{i_1}\cdots\mu_{i_p}\mu_{h_1 k_1}\cdots\mu_{h_q k_q}\lambda_{j_1}\cdots\lambda_{j_r} + \tag{150}$$
$$+\omega(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)\,.$$

where $\chi_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)$ are the counterparts of the $\vartheta_{p,q,r,s}(\lambda)$ and $\omega(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$ is the counterpart of $H^{*0}(\mu_{ab},\lambda,\lambda_c)$? Obviously, they must also satisfy the counterparts of eqs. (56), (57), (58), (61), (62) and (64) because in this case we would have all the symmetries (In fact we obtained the expression for $\frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial \mu}$, just imposing all the symmetries), that is

$$\chi_{0,q,r,0}(\lambda) = 0\,. \tag{151}$$

$$\chi_{0,0,0,s}(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 0\,. \tag{152}$$

$$\omega(0_{ab},\lambda,0_c) = 0\,. \tag{153}$$

$$\chi_{p,q,r,s} = \begin{cases} \chi_{0,q+\frac{p}{2},r,s+\frac{p}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ is even} \\ \chi_{1,q+\frac{p-1}{2},r,s+\frac{p-1}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd}\,. \end{cases} \tag{154}$$

$$\chi_{0,q,r+1,s+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\chi_{1,q,r,s} \quad , \quad \chi_{1,q,r+1,s+1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\chi_{0,q+1,r,s+1}\,, \tag{155}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2Q+R+1)\chi_{0,Q,R,s+1} + 2\lambda\chi_{0,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R\chi_{1,Q+1,R-1,s}\,, \\ 0 &= (2Q+R+2)\chi_{1,Q,R,s+1} + 2\lambda\chi_{1,Q+1,R,s+1} + 2R\chi_{0,Q+2,R-1,s+1}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

A Appendix 1: The particular solution $H = H_1$.

Let us prove that $H = H_1$, with H_1 given by (38) and ψ_n constrained by (37), is a particular solution of (27) and (29).

In fact, by substituting (38) in $(27)_1$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{r+p+1}}{\partial\lambda^r\partial\mu^{p+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{q+1+\frac{p+r}{2}}\psi_{\frac{p+r}{2}}\right]=\frac{\partial^{r+p+2}}{\partial\lambda^r\partial\mu^{p+2}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{q+\frac{p+2+r}{2}}\psi_{\frac{p+2+r}{2}}\right]$$

which surely holds because $\psi_{\frac{p+r}{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\psi_{\frac{p+r}{2}+1}$, thanks to (37).
By substituting (38) in $(27)_2$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{r+p+2}}{\partial\lambda^{r+1}\partial\mu^{p+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{q+\frac{p+r+1}{2}}\psi_{\frac{p+r+1}{2}}\right]=\frac{\partial^{r+p+2}}{\partial\lambda^{r+1}\partial\mu^{p+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{q+\frac{p+1+r}{2}}\psi_{\frac{p+1+r+}{2}}\right]$$

which is an evident identity.
It is more delicate to verify (29). To do it, let us substitute (29) with its derivatives with respect to $\mu_{i_1},\cdots,\mu_{i_P}$, $\mu_{h_1k_1},\cdots,\mu_{h_Qk_Q}$, $\lambda_{j_1},\cdots,\lambda_{j_R}$; let us substitute (38) in the resulting equation and let us calculate the last form at equilibrium. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0=&P\delta^{i\overline{i_1}}\delta^{(\overline{i_2\cdots i_P}kh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\frac{(P+2Q+R+1)!!}{P+2Q+R+1}\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\\
&+2Q\delta^{i\overline{h_1}}\delta^{(\overline{k_1h_2k_2\cdots h_Qk_Q}ki_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}\frac{(P+2Q+R+1)!!}{P+2Q+R+1}\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\\
&+2\lambda\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}(P+2Q+R+1)!!\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\\
&+2R\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}(P+2Q+R+1)!!\frac{\partial^{R+P}}{\partial\lambda^{R-1}\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\\
&+R\delta^{i\overline{j_1}}\delta^{(\overline{j_2\cdots j_R}kh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_P)}\frac{(P+2Q+R+1)!!}{P+2Q+R+1}\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\\
&+\delta^{ki}\delta^{(i_1\cdots i_Ph_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R)}\frac{(P+2Q+R+1)!!}{P+2Q+R+1}\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where overlined indexes denote symmetrization over those indexes, after that the other one (round brackets around indexes) has been taken.
Now, the first, second, fifth and sixth term can be put together so that the above expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
0=&\delta^{i\overline{i_1}}\delta^{(\overline{i_2\cdots i_Pkh_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qj_1\cdots j_R})}(P+2Q+R+1)!!\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\\
&+(P+2Q+R+1)!!\delta^{(kih_1k_1\cdots h_Qk_Qi_1\cdots i_Pj_1\cdots j_R)}\left\{2\lambda\frac{\partial^{R+P+1}}{\partial\lambda^R\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]+\right.\\
&\left.+2R\frac{\partial^{R+P}}{\partial\lambda^{R-1}\partial\mu^{P+1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which is satisfied as a consequence of the property
$\delta^{ii_1}\delta^{(\overline{i_2\cdots i_P k h_1 k_1\cdots h_Q k_Q j_1\cdots j_R})} = \delta^{(k i h_1 k_1\cdots h_Q k_Q i_1\cdots i_P j_1\cdots j_R)}$ and of the identity

$$\frac{\partial^R}{\partial\lambda^R}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right] = \frac{\partial^R}{\partial\lambda^R}\left[-2\lambda\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right] =$$
$$= -2\lambda\frac{\partial^R}{\partial\lambda^R}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right] - 2R\frac{\partial^{R-1}}{\partial\lambda^{R-1}}\left[\left(\frac{-1}{2\lambda}\right)^{Q+1+\frac{P+R}{2}}\psi_{\frac{P+R}{2}}\right].$$

This completes the proof that $H = H_1$ is a particular solution of (27) and (29).

B Appendix 2: A further integration in the framework of the initial article.

A further integration is possible for one combination of eqs. (44) of the paper [1].

In fact, the integrability condition on $(44)_{1,4}$ of that paper allows us to obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}h_4 = -2T^2\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial T}\frac{\partial p}{\partial\rho} - 2\frac{T^3}{\rho^2}\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)^2 = -2\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial T}\left(2\frac{T}{\rho}h_2 + \frac{5T^2p}{3\rho}\right). \tag{157}$$

(Here and in the sequel, we use the notation of [1]. For example, the scalars β_2, β_3 are different from the constants with the same name the present paper).
After that, by using $(44)_{2,3}$ and the present eq. (157), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left[\beta_2 - \frac{5}{6}\beta_3 - \left(4h_2 + \frac{10}{3}pT\right)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\right] = 0. \tag{158}$$

Consequently, $\beta_2 - \frac{5}{6}\beta_3 - \left(4h_2 + \frac{10}{3}pT\right)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)$ may depend only on temperature.
Similarly, from $(44)_{5,6}$ and the present eq. (157), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T}\left[\beta_2 - \frac{5}{6}\beta_3 - \left(4h_2 + \frac{10}{3}pT\right)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\right] = -\frac{1}{T}\left[\beta_2 - \frac{5}{6}\beta_3 - \left(4h_2 + \frac{10}{3}pT\right)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)\right], \tag{159}$$

which is a differential equation for the unknown $\beta_2 - \frac{5}{6}\beta_3 - \left(4h_2 + \frac{10}{3}pT\right)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho}\right)$ whose solution is

$$\beta_2 - \frac{5}{6}\beta_3 - \left(4h_2 + \frac{10}{3}pT\right)\left(\varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho}\right) = \frac{constant}{T}. \tag{160}$$

Consequently, we have the symmetry of M_{ijk} at first order, if and only if this constant arising from integration is zero! On the other hand m_{ppik} at first order is already symmetric; eventual its skew-symmetric parts may appear at higher orders with respect to equilibrium.

References

[1] T. Arima, S. Taniguchi, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama. Extended Thermodynamics of dense gases. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. **24, 271–292, (2012)**

[2] Liu I-S., Müller I.: Extended Thermodynamics of Classical and Degenerate Ideal Gases. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 83, 285–332, (1983)

[3] Liu I.S., Müller I., Ruggeri T. Relativistic thermodynamics of gases. Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), 169, 191–219 (1986)

[4] Trovato M., Reggiani L., Quantum maximum entropy principle for a system of identical particles, Phys. Rev. E 81 021119-1-11 (2010)

[5] Trovato M., Reggiani L., Quantum maximum-entropy principle for closed quantum hydrodynamic transport within a Wigner function formalism, Phys. Rev. E 84, 061147-1-29 (2011)

[6] M. Trovato, L. Reggiani. Maximum entropy principle and hydrodynamic models in statistical mechanics. Riv. Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 35 99–266 (2012)

[7] Trovato M., Reggiani L. Quantum Maximum Entropy Principle for Fractional Exclusion Statistics, Phys. Rev. lett. 110, 020404-1-5 (2013)

[8] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi, T.Ruggeri. The Lagrangian view-point compared with the Eulerian one, in the framework of Extended Thermodynamics. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 132, 199-212 (2014), DOI: 10.1007/s10440-014-9921-0.

[9] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi. Waves speeds in the macroscopic relativistic extended model with many moments. Meccanica 49 pp. 1493-1506 (2014). DOI: 10.1007/s11012-014-9933-x.

[10] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi. Extended Thermodynamics of Charged Gases with Many Moments: An Alternative Closure. J. Math. Phys., 54 pp. from 09301-1 to 09301-15 (2013). DOI: 10.1063/1.4821086

[11] M.C. Carrisi, S. Montisci, S. Pennisi. Entropy Principle and Galilean Relativity for Dense Gases, the General Solution without Approximations. Entropy 15 (3) , pp. 1035-1056 (2013). DOI: 10.3390/e15031035.

[12] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi. Extended Thermodynamics of charged gases with many moments. J. Math. Phys. 54, pp. from 023101-1 to 023101-18 (2013). DOI: 10.1063/1.4789544.

[13] M.C. Carrisi. A generalized kinetic approach for the study of relativistic electron beams. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae. 122 107–116 (2012) DOI: 10.1007/s10440-012-9730-2.

[14] M.C. Carrisi, S. Pennisi. Some open problems in non-linear extended thermodynamics and their possible solutions. Ricerche di Matematica 60 45–56 (2012). DOI: 10.1007/s11587-010-0095-4.

[15] T.Ruggeri, M. Trovato. Hyperbolicity in extended thermodynamics of Fermi and Bose gases. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. 16 551-576 (2004) DOI: 10.1007/s00161-004-0180-6.

[16] S. Lombardo, G. Mulone, M. Trovato. Nonlinear stability in reaction-diffusion systems via optimal Lyapunov functions. Journal Of Mathematical Analysis And Applications 342 461-476 (2008). DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.12.024.

[17] M. Trovato, P.Falsaperla, L.Reggiani. Maximum entropy principle for non-parabolic hydrodynamic transport in semiconductor devices. Journal Of Applied Physics 86 5906-5908 (1999) DOI: 10.1063/1.371610

[18] Montisci S., Pennisi S. Some Useful Tensorial Identies for Extended Thermodynamics. IEJPAM. 6,No. 4 pp. 167-215, (2013)

[19] T. Arima, S. Taniguchi, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama. Extended Thermodynamics of real gases with dynamic pressure: An extension of Meixner's theory. Physics Letters A. 376, 2799–2803, (2012)

[20] T. Arima, S. Taniguchi, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama. Monoatomic rarefied gas as a singular limit of polyatomic gas in extended thermodynamics. Physics Letters A. 377, 2136–2140 (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2013.06.035

[21] T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama, S. Taniguchi. On the six-field model of fluids based on extended thermodynamics. Meccanica. 49 21812187 (2014) DOI 10.1007/s11012-014-9886-0.

[22] S. Taniguchi, T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama. Effect of dynamic pressure on the shock wave structure in a rarefied polyatomic gas. Phys. Fluids 26, 016103 (2014).

[23] T. Arima, S. Taniguchi, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama. Dispersion relation for sound in rarefied polyatomic gases based on extended thermodynamics. Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. 25, 727–737 (2013).

[24] T. Arima, S. Taniguchi, M. Sugiyama. Light scattering in rarefied polyatomic gases based on extended thermodynamics. Proceedings of The 34th Symposium on Ultrasonic Electronics, 15–16 (2013).

[25] S. Taniguchi, T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama. Thermodynamic theory of the shock wave structure in a rarefied polyatomic gas: Beyond the Bethe-Teller theory. Phys. Rev. E 89 013025 (2014).

[26] M. Pavić, T. Ruggeri, S. Simić. Maximum entropy principle for rarefied polyatomic gases. Physica A 392, 1302–1317 (2013).

[27] T. Arima, E. Barbera, F. Brini, M.Sugiyama. The role of the dynamic pressure in stationary heat conduction of a rarefied polyatomic gas. Physics Letters A 378 26952700 (2014)

[28] E. Barbera, F. Brini, M. Sugiyama. Heat Transfer Problem in a Van Der Waals Gas. Acta Appl Math 132 4150 (2014). DOI 10.1007/s10440-014-9892-1

[29] E. Barbera, , F. Brini. On stationary heat conduction in 3D symmetric domains: an application of extended thermodynamics. Acta Mech. 215, 241260 (2010)

[30] E. Barbera, F. Brini, G. Valenti. Some non-linear effects of stationary heat conduction in 3D domains through extended thermodynamics. Europhys. Lett. 98, 54004 (2012), 6 pp.

[31] E. Barbera, F. Brini. Heat transfer in gas mixtures: advantages of an extended thermodynamics approach. Phys. Lett. A 375 (4), 827831 (2011)

[32] E. Barbera, F. Brini. Heat transfer in a binary gas mixture between two parallel plates: an application of linear extended thermodynamics. Acta Mech. 220, 87105 (2011)

[33] E. Barbera, F. Brini. Heat transfer in multi-component gas mixtures described by extended thermodynamics. Meccanica 47 (3), 655666 (2012)

[34] E. Barbera, F. Brini. An extended thermodynamics description of stationary heat transfer in binary gas mixtures confined in radial symmetric bounded domains. Contin. Mech. Thermodyn. 24, 313331 (2012)

[35] Liu I-S. Method of Lagrange Multipliers for Exploitation of the Entropy Principle. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 46, 131–148, (1972)

[36] Müller, I., Ruggeri, T.: Rational Extended Thermodynamics, 2nd edn. Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy. Springer, New York (1998)

[37] Pennisi S., Ruggeri T. A new method to exploit the Entropy Principle and Galilean Invariance in the macroscopic approach of Extended Thermodynamics. Ricerche Mat. 55, 319–339 (2006)

[38] G. F. Smith. On isotropic functions of symmetric tensor, skew symmetric tensor and vectors. Int. J. Engng Sci. 9 pp. 899-916 (1971). DOI: 10.1016/0020-7225(71)90023-1.

[39] S.Pennisi, M.Trovato. On the Irreducibility of Professor G.F. Smith' s Representations for isotropic functions. Int.J.Engng Sci. 25 pp.1059-1065 (1987). DOI: 10.1016/0020-7225(87)90097-8.

[40] S.Pennisi. On third order Tensor-valued Isotropic Functions. Int.J.Engng Sci. 30 pp. 679-692 (1992). DOI: 10.1016/0020-7225(92)90011-5.

[41] S. Pennisi, M. Trovato. Mathematical Characterization of functions underlying the principle of relativity. Le Matematiche, XLIV, pp. 173-204 (1989)

[42] S. Montisci, S. Pennisi. Representations Theorems for Scalar Functions in a 4-dimensional Euclidean Space. Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math. 60 pp. 443-460 (2010)

[43] M. C. Carrisi, S. Montisci, S. Pennisi, Representations Theorems for Symmetric Tensorial Functions in a 4-dimensional Euclidean Space. IEJPAM 2 pp. 129-154 (2010)

[44] M. C. Carrisi, S. Montisci, S. Pennisi. Representations Theorems for Skew-Symmetric Tensorial Functions in a 4-dimensional Euclidean Space. IEJPAM 2 pp. 155-181 (2010)

[45] **S. Montisci, S. Pennisi. Representations Theorems in a 4-dimensional Euclidean Space. A new case. IEJPAM 1 pp. 1-30 (2013). DOI: 10.12732/ijdea.v6i1.1**

[46] **M. C. Carrisi, S. Montisci, S. Pennisi. Representation Theorems In A 4-Dimensional Euclidean Space. The Case With Only Skew-Symmetric Tensors. IJPAM. 93 No. 6, 929-979 (2014). Doi: 10.12732/ijpam.v93i6.14**